

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for Program Year 2019 (July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020) represents the fifth CAPER of the State of Mississippi's Consolidated Plan for Housing and Community Development for Plan Years 2015 – 2019. The report presents the overriding strategies and goals of the Five-Year Consolidated Plan for Housing and Community Development, including selected performance criteria associated with each goal and strategy. The objectives pursued were as follows: Reduce housing blight and blighting influences; Enhance the provision of quality affordable housing; Create, expand and retain more jobs for low- to moderate –income persons; Create, expand and maintain public infrastructure for the benefit of low- to moderate -income persons; Reduce the incidence of homelessness; and Provide housing for HIV/AIDS persons in Mississippi.

The HOME Program- Homeowner Rehabilitation, HOME Rental and CHDO set-a-side activities provided funding for the construction and rehabilitation of single family homeownership and single & multi-family rental housing units for low-to-very low income households. Mississippi Home Corporation (MHC) continues to provide safe, decent, affordable housing for families by addressing substandard housing needs through Homeowner Rehabilitation.

The HTF Program funds were allocated for the development of single family and multi- family rental housing for extremely low income families (30% AMI). MHC offered incentives to non-profit and for profit organizations to apply for HTF funding, assist extremely low income families and provide affordable rental housing in areas of need and opportunity. MHC assisted clients through the HUD's Comprehensive Housing Counseling Program by bringing awareness of credit, budgeting, lending and building wealth.

The Emergency Solutions Grant program worked to accomplish the priority to target chronic homelessness and utilized ESG funds for Rapid Re-Housing Assistance, Street Outreach, Emergency Shelter Assistance, and Homeless Prevention.

The HOPWA Program continued its efforts in providing housing assistance for persons with HIV/AIDS through short term rent, utility, and mortgage assistance, tenant based rental assistance, permanent housing placement and supportive services.

The State continues to work toward affirmatively furthering fair housing in the administration of federally funded programs as outlined in the

Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI). MHC serves as the Lead Entity in a joint collaboration effort to produce a state-wide Analysis of Impediment document. Conducted Outreach throughout the State to include approximately 35 public hearings to inform the public of the outcome of the AI. The collaboration of the AI included the Mississippi Development Authority, Entitlements and Public Housing Authorities.

The State’s Consolidated Plan established goals for each of three priority need areas: decent housing, economic opportunity and suitable living environment. The Mississippi Development Authority administers the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program and significantly exceeded the job creation goal for the 2018 program year. The public infrastructure goals did not meet the State's expected goals by only a small percentage.

For the reporting period, The Mississippi Development Authority, Community Services Division awarded 51 CDBG Grants to (* breakdown PF and ED) local units of government public facilities activities in the non-entitlement counties in the state of Mississippi.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee’s program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Encourage Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	3100	2901	93.58%	620	66	10.65%
Enhance Homeless prevention and HMIS	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	500	2748	549.60%	807	440	54.52%

Enhance housing and services for persons with HIV	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	1500	620	41.33%	200	399	199.50%
Enhance housing and services for persons with HIV	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	0	0		18	23	127.78%
Enhance housing and services for persons with HIV	Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	2025	1539	76.00%	315	0	0.00%
Improve public facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	387500	348663	89.98%	45000	39839	88.53%
Preserve housing stock through rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	122	0	0.00%	50	0	0.00%
Preserve housing stock through rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	122	53	43.44%	100	42	42.00%
Preserve housing stock through rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	0	0		10	0	0.00%

Preserve housing stock through rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	290	217	74.83%	90	11	12.22%
Preserve housing stock through rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	0	0				
Promote Homeownership for Disabled households	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	350	100	28.57%			
Promote New Construction/Substantial Rehab w/HTF	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / HTF: \$2700000 / Housing Trust Fund: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	122	0	0.00%	26	0	0.00%
Promote New Construction/Substantial Rehab w/HTF	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / HTF: \$2700000 / Housing Trust Fund: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	122	192	157.38%	80	106	132.50%
Promote New Construction/Substantial Rehab w/HTF	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$ / HTF: \$2700000 / Housing Trust Fund: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	0	0				

Provide for Emergency Shelters	Homeless	ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	2000	1743	87.15%	525	1077	205.14%
Provide for Emergency Shelters	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	18250	3002	16.45%			
Provide Rapid Re-housing Assistance for homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	1250	3763	301.04%	945	435	46.03%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

The State designed the CDBG program to address critical economic and community development needs of the citizens of Mississippi. The funds were allocated to both public facilities and economic development activities. The state distributed CDBG funds statewide to eligible units of general local government using a competitive method of distribution process for public facility/infrastructure activities. These activities directly addressed the Plan Objective: Create, Expand and Retain More Jobs for Lower-Income Persons; and the two Strategies: Create or Expand Employment at For-Profit Businesses, and Invest in Eligible Infrastructure that Supports Better Paying Jobs. The expected/actual program year measures from the table above include activities that have been completed and results in actual beneficiaries.

The State of Mississippi's HOME Program administered by Mississippi Home Corporation covers the entire State of Mississippi. Mississippi's HOME Program funding categories includes: 15% CHDO Set-Aside, CHDO Operating Expense, Homeowner Rehabilitation, and Rental Housing. Funding for homeowner rehabilitation activities is a competitive process where local units of government submit an application that is reviewed and ranked according to rating factors and threshold requirements. Funding provided through the CHDO Set-Aside provides housing opportunities for those communities in which a non-profit organization has demonstrated capacity and complies with the CHDO requirements. HOME (Rental) addresses multi-family and single family rental housing for low to very low income households. The HTF Program addresses rental housing for extremely low-income households (30% AMI). MHC awarded HTF funds to non-profit and for profit organizations. A

percentage of the development units were designated for the homeless and the serious mentally ill population. Homeownership is not allowed under HTF; therefore, homeowner housing did not report an expected or actual goal.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG	HTF
White	16,615	0	60	1,322	0
Black or African American	22,320	93	332	1,612	0
Asian	99	0	2	10	0
American Indian or American Native	36	0	1	22	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	11	0	0	9	0
Total	39,081	93	395	2,975	0
Hispanic	135	0	0	70	0
Not Hispanic	332	93	395	2,905	0

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

For the State’s CDBG program, the largest primary beneficiaries for the 2019 program year were White and Black or African Americans. All other ethnic groups represent a lower percentage. **Note:** Other ethnic groups not listed but include, **(15)** American Indian / Alaskan Native & White **(1)** Asian & White **(40)** Black/African American & White **(1)** American Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American persons. Other Multiracial of **(332 persons)**.

For the State’s HOME program, the largest primary beneficiaries in the 2019 program year were Black or African Americans across all programs (93 HOME, 332 HOPWA, and 1,612 ESG). The second largest primary beneficiaries for the 2019 program year were White across all programs (0 HOME, 60 HOPWA, and 1,322 ESG) The third largest primary beneficiaries in the 2019 program year were American Indian or American Native across all programs (0 HOME, 1 HOPWA, and 22 ESG). The fourth largest primary beneficiaries in the 2019 program year were Asian across all programs (0 HOME, 2 HOPWA, and 10 ESG). The fifth largest primary beneficiaries for the 2019 program year were Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander across all programs (0 HOME, 0 HOPWA, and 9 ESG). The largest primary beneficiaries in the 2019 program year by ethnicity were Non-Hispanic across all programs (93 HOME, 395 HOPWA, and 2,905 ESG). The second largest primary beneficiaries in the 2019 program year by ethnicity were Hispanic across all program areas (0 HOME, 0 HOPWA, and 70 ESG).

MHC is actively targeting outreach to Limited English Speaking populations, other racial and ethnic families. The largest percentage of families assisted with ESG funds for the 2019 program year were Black or African American, White and the ethnicity category Not Hispanic. The largest percentage of families assisted with HOPWA funds for the program year were Black or African American and

the ethnicity category was Not Hispanic. The largest percentage of families assisted with HOME funds for the 2019 program year were Black or African American and the ethnicity category was Not Hispanic. The racial and ethnic status of families assisted with ESG and HOPWA funds can be found in the SAGE attachment for ESG and the HUD-40110-D for HOPWA.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	33,139,374	24,698,769
HOME	public - federal	10,352,640	5,274,958
HOPWA	public - federal	2,643,567	1,852,247
ESG	public - federal	2,280,468	1,981,557
HTF	public - federal	3,000,000	
Housing Trust Fund	public - federal	0	

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Resources made available are identified as the amount of CDBG, HOME, ESG, HOPWA and Housing Trust Fund Allocations received for 2019 program year. The amount of funds expended, during the 2019 program year for the HOME program included Rental, Rehabilitation, and Reconstruction activities. HTF funds were not expended during the program year. The amount of funds expended, during the 2019 program year for the ESG program included Emergency Shelter, Street Outreach, Homeless Prevention, Rapid Re-Housing and HMIS. The amount of funds expended, during the 2019 program year for the HOPWA Program included STRMU, TBRA, Master Leasing and Supportive Services. The amount of funds awarded and expended during the 2019 program year for ESG and HOPWA covers multiple grants during this period.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Non-Entitlement Entities			CDBG funds are available to non-entitlement entities
Statewide	100	100	Funds are not targeted geographically, but are available statewide.

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)- The state provides CDBG funds to units of general local government statewide to non-entitlement jurisdictions on a competitive, or per economic development project basis, and does not provide for geographic targeting.

The State of Mississippi's HOME Program administered by MHC covers the entire State of

Mississippi. Funds are not targeted geographically, but are available statewide.

HOME Program - Funding for Homeowner Rehabilitation, HOME Rental, and CHDO activities were distributed based on a competitive application process. Local units of government submitted applications for funding and once those applications were received, the applications were reviewed for Threshold Requirements. Applications that passed the Threshold Review were reviewed, scored and ranked. CHDO set-aside funds were provided to eligible non-profit organizations through a certification and proposal process prior to submitting application for funding.

The ESG program is administered Statewide including identified non-entitlement communities. ESG funding is allocated using a competitive process by which applications are reviewed for Threshold Requirements, then reviewed and rated according to specific rating factors and ranked according to the scores attained. Funding is provided based on the ranking and amount of funds available.

HOPWA funding is provided through a Request for Proposal (RFP) process. RFPs are reviewed and ranked according to specific rating factors. Funds are awarded based on ranking and available funds.

The National Housing Trust Fund Program covers the entire State of Mississippi. Housing Trust Fund Program (HTF)- HTF funds are awarded on a competitive basis. MHC used a scoring and ranking process to select projects for funding. The application process consists of Threshold Review and Application Scoring. Eligible applicants are Non-profit and For-profit organizations with demonstrated development experience and capacity with creating, rehabilitating, or preserving affordable housing.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)- The state did not directly leverage funds for CDBG. The state requires units of general local government to match CDBG economic development funds with a 10% investment and the benefiting business to invest a dollar for dollar match of CDBG funds. The state requires units of general local government to provide an unspecified match of certain competitive public facility applications according to the approved method of distribution.

The State did not directly leverage funds for HOME and Housing Trust Fund. Mississippi is declared as a fiscally distressed state; therefore, the HOME Program and Housing Trust funds are exempt from the Match Requirement by 100%. HOME/CHDO projects and homebuyer assistance activities are representative of identifying “other” funding. Those funds were sourced by bank loans, other grant opportunities, and owner’s contributions. HTF funds were used for the purpose of gap financing and other funding sources required to ensure projects are financially feasible. This included low income housing tax credit and other private, state and local funds. There were no publicly owned land or property located within the state that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The Emergency Solutions Grant Program leveraged additional resources received from the State’s CHOICE (Creating Housing Options in Communities for Everyone) Program, which target individuals with disabilities or individuals with serious mental illness. The Emergency Solutions Grant Program requires its subrecipients to make matching contributions in the amount awarded to satisfy HUD’s match requirements. The ESG subrecipients will match dollar for dollar using other federal, state, local and private funds. The ESG subrecipients matching contributions may be met by cash or non-cash contributions. There were no publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The Housing Opportunity for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) Program leveraged additional resources from other federal programs (Continuum of Care and Ryan White) and local governments. Sources of leveraged funds can be cash or in-kind.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	0
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	0
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	0
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	0
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	0

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
50,043	163,812	0	0	213,855

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	722,327	0	0	722,327	0	0
Number	11	0	0	11	0	0
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	722,327	0	722,327			
Number	11	0	11			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	0	0	0			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	2	0	0	2	0	0
Cost	7,601	0	0	7,601	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	1,550	1,289
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	133	183
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	512	435
Total	2,195	1,907

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	1,991	0
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	44	0
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	89	0
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	8	0
Total	2,132	0

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The State's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served was 83.1% for number of homeless households to be provided affordable housing units; 137.6% for number of non-homeless households to be provided affordable housing units; 84.9% for number of special-needs households to be provided affordable housing units. Cumulatively, the State's total was 86.9% for all categories of the one-year goal.

The actual number of households supported through Rehab of existing units and the production of new units did not exceed the one-year goal due to the change in the application process for awarding grants. There are two rental components under HOME: Rental and CHDO. Projects under these programs were awarded but pending loan closing and construction of development units. However, the HOME and HTF programs implemented incentives to increase special needs units in developments. This will contribute to the number of households to be supported. HOME and HTF awards were reserved for non-profit and for-profit organizations committing to special needs affordable housing units designated for disabled and homeless families/individuals. During the program year, applications received for HOME and HTF were pending approval for funding, pending loan closing and construction of developments contributed to the expected goal not being met for the number of households supported through HOME and HTF activities, number of households supported through Rental Assistance and number of households supported through acquisition of existing units. There were no affordable housing constructed during the program year for the HOPWA Program.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The outcomes will impact future annual actions plans by showing a larger number/percentage of households supported through rental assistance, rehab, acquisition of existing units and production of new units. The HTF Program actual outcome will increase rental assistance, production of new units, and rehabilitation of existing units for rental housing due to the interest shown by non-profit and for-profit applicants. The number of households supported through the HOME Program will increase due to the percentage of funding allocated for Homeowner Rehabilitation and the improvement of the application and funding process. This increase will reflect in the acquisition, production and rehabilitation of existing units state-wide. In addition, extremely low-income household will receive assistance from ESG, HOPWA, HOME and HTF funding.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual	HTF Actual
Extremely Low-income	0	9	41
Low-income	0	46	
Moderate-income	0	0	
Total	0	55	

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

The HOME actual count reflects the number of households served under the HOME Program activities, Homeowner Rehabilitation & Reconstruction and Rental. CDBG focus is on public facilities/infrastructure activities which serve low and moderate-income individuals and economic

development activities which provides job opportunities to low and moderate-income individuals, housing is not an eligible activity under the State's CDBG Program.

Applications received were pending due to funding, loan closing and construction contributed to goal not met for households supported through HOME and HTF, households supported through Rental Assistance and acquisition of existing units.

Worse Case Needs: MHC addresses the "worse case needs" for meeting the needs for persons with disabilities, low, very low, and extremely low-income individuals by eliminating shortage of rental housing, increasing homeownership, and reducing individuals from living in substandard housing in the State. The information provided reflects beneficiaries who received HOME assistance in the areas of (Rehabilitation/Reconstruction and Rental Activities). During the program year, the HOME program was inclusive of Rental Housing, CHDO and Homeowner Rehab/Reconstruction activities for low-income families living in substandard housing; eliminate the shortage of housing for people with disability, and provide decent, safe and affordable housing for these low, very low and extremely low-income households by homeownership and rental housing. MHC's allocated the largest percent of HOME funds to HOME Rehabilitation. HOME funds are eligible for Rental & to be used in conjunction with (LIHTC) developments to address the shortage of rental housing for extremely low income and very low-income families. Applications received for HOME were pending approval for funding & loan closing. To prohibit these families from paying more than 30% of their household income, sources of rental assistance is encouraged. Developers received incentive points for designating units in developments for ELI households with disabilities including serious mental illness under MS Olmstead Initiative. This Initiative is designed to provide community-based housing options for person with serious mental illness released from institutional care, persons who have been incarcerated or homeless with serious mental illness diagnosis or occurrence of hospitalization.

HTF primary focus is to address the shortage and eliminate shortage of rental housing for extremely low-income households and assist households from paying more than 30% of their household income for rent. HTF provides for the development or rehab of rental units for targeted populations.

Applicants address the following priorities: 1) Rental housing needs of extremely low (30% of AMI) and very low-income (50% of AMI) households; 2) Target at least 10% of units in each property to address prevention, reduction, and expansion of permanent housing opportunities for persons experiencing homelessness and persons with serious mental illness; 3) located within priority areas defined by the State's Consolidated Plan.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

During the Program period, MHC's objective to provide the essential services necessary to reach out to unsheltered homeless persons by conducting outreach and connecting the unsheltered by housing and/or emergency shelter was achieved. The unsheltered were assessed through engagement and case management provided by the non-profits and Continuums of Care organization. MHC and the CoCs collaborated in conducting outreach activities through the "Coordinated Entry" Process. As a result, a "By Name list" was established. This allowed CoCs to assess and address unsheltered persons with the greatest individual needs.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

MHC addressed the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons by continuing to fund the Emergency Shelter component. The Emergency Shelters assisted in providing temporary housing and essential services to homeless individuals and families experiencing homelessness. Case managers assessed, arranged, coordinated and monitored the delivery of individualized services. Feedback received from the public hearings and MHC's Advisory meeting demonstrated that the State should continue to fund Operation and Maintenance cost for emergency shelters. For the program period, July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020, 1,077 persons were assisted under the Emergency Shelter component, 205.1% above the expected program year.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

MHC's established a goal to help low income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless through the Homeless Prevention component. These funds provided housing relocation and stabilization services and short- and/or medium-term rental assistance necessary to prevent an individual or families from moving into an emergency shelter or other places described in the "homeless" definition. The Homelessness Prevention component assisted the "At- risk of Homelessness" participants maintain stability in their current housing. MHC provided funding sub-recipients state-wide to provide essential services necessary to reach out to unsheltered homeless persons by conducting outreach and

connecting the unsheltered by housing and/or emergency shelter. The unsheltered were assessed through engagement and case management provided by the non-profits and Continuums of Care organization. MHC and the CoCs collaborated in conducting outreach activities through the "Coordinated Entry" process. As a result, a "By Name list" was established. This allowed CoCs to assess and address unsheltered persons with the greatest individual needs. This demonstrated the effort in meeting objectives for reducing and ending homelessness for extremely low-income individuals and families in the State of Mississippi.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

MHC provided funding to sub-recipients state-wide to provide essential services necessary to reach out to homeless persons by conducting outreach and connecting the unsheltered by housing and/or emergency shelter. MHC's established a goal to help homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living by funding the Rapid Rehousing component. These funds provided housing relocation and stabilization services and short- and/or medium-term rental assistance necessary to help homeless individuals and families move as quickly as possible into permanent housing and achieve stability in that housing.

Case management served as the vehicle used to provide the essential services and facilitate access to permanent and affordable housing. Continued funding to the emergency shelters provided essential services to homeless families and individuals and the operation of the shelter. It was demonstrated that Case Management was essential to assessing, arranging, coordinating and monitoring the delivery of individualized services in reducing and ending homelessness. Feedback received from the public hearings and MHC's Advisory meeting indicated that the state should continue to fund shelter Operation and Maintenance cost.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Public Housing Authorities are eligible and encouraged to apply for funding through the National Housing Trust Fund (HTF) Program for either construction, acquisition or rehabilitation of rental units. The HTF Program is designed to serve ELI households and promote the use of rental assistance for affordability. Developers awarded HTF funds are subject to incentives, during the application process. Additional points are awarded, when applicants provide supportive services appropriate for the population being served. Supportive services will assist in addressing the needs of public housing by building wealth and assets among tenants, particularly, special needs population such as, homeless and serious mentally ill.

Local housing authorities are encouraged to work with regional housing authorities to provide rental assistance to address the affordability of rent for low, very low and extremely low-income households targeted by the HTF & HOME Program. HTF and HOME funds are used in conjunction with each other and serve as source of funding in the form of gap financing. This source of funding ensures financial feasibility of developments. Housing Authorities are subject to HUD's regulation of eligibility in seeking funding under these programs. Based on the criteria required by HUD to form partnerships, housing authorities may consider partnering with for-profit or non-profit developers to develop affordable housing. The State of Mississippi is working closely with mental health facilities to address issues related to the MS Olmstead Act. The housing authorities are a vital part of this process. MHC collaborated with public housing authorities in preparing a joint/regional Analysis of Impediment. As a result, the collaboration effort enhanced the ability to identify barriers and needs among housing authorities statewide. MAHRO serves as the lead instrument in coordinating this process with PHAs.

MHC encourages PHAs to apply for funding through the National Housing Trust Fund (HTF) Program for either construction, acquisition or rehabilitation of rental units. The HTF Program is designed to serve ELI households and promote the use of rental assistance for affordability. Developers awarded HTF funds are subject to incentives, during the application process. Additional points are awarded, when applicants provide supportive services appropriate for the population being served. Supportive services may assist in addressing the needs of public housing by building wealth and assets among tenants, particularly, special needs population such as, homeless and serious mentally ill.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The following actions were taken by MHC to encourage public housing residents to become more involve in management and participation in homeownership: MHC engaged participation of Public Housing Authorities through the development of the Annual Action Plan and participation in the MHC's Annual Advisory Meeting. Invitations were extended to representatives of Public Housing Authorities to attend MHC's Annual Advisory Meeting for the purpose of providing input on housing needs for people

with disabilities and the overall distribution of grant funds. Suggestions were made to ensure that Federal Program funding assist rental housing and homeownership for people with disabilities, extremely low, very low and low- income households. Public housing authorities created an active resident council to develop rich and meaningful service and delivery plans in order to engage residents/tenants in activities and services. MHC utilized this concept by recruiting MAHRO and PHA's Resident Council to serve as Program and Community Participants in collaboration with MHC to address the impact of homeownership and housing in the State. MHC collaborated with MAHRO for the development of a state-wide analysis of impediment to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing. As a result, public housing residents will become more involved in management and participation in creating homeownership. Notifications were published in the local newspapers of general circulation in each area, as well as The Clarion Ledger, Jackson Advocate, and LA Noticia MS in Jackson, MS. In addition, MHC's HUD Housing Counseling grant program worked with several PHAs on funding homebuyer education activity for households eligible for Homeownership Vouchers.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

MHC cannot identify particular troubled PHAs; however, local housing authorities are encouraged to work with regional housing authorities to provide rental assistance to address the affordability of rent for low, very low and extremely low-income households targeted by the HTF & HOME Program. HTF and HOME funds are used in conjunction with each other and serve as source of funding in the form of gap financing. This source of funding ensures financial feasibility of developments. Based on the criteria required by HUD to form partnerships, housing authorities may consider partnering with for-profit or non-profit developers to develop affordable housing. The State of Mississippi is working closely with mental health facilities to address issues related to the MS Olmstead Act. The housing authorities are a vital part of this process. MHC collaborated with public housing authorities in preparing a joint/regional Analysis of Impediment. As a result, the collaboration effort enhanced the ability to identify barriers, needs and solutions in assisting troubled PHAs statewide. MAHRO serves as the lead instrument in coordinating this process with PHAs.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

The State recognizes many factors that impact the need to remove barriers to affordable housing, most of the barriers stem from things outside the control of the State, such as the cost of land and materials. Nonetheless, the State encouraged the development and promotion of affordable housing through the use of funding through the HOME and HTF Programs. The State continues to utilize tax incentives for homeowners and encourage communities to allow more affordable housing options.

Mississippi used HOME, ESG, HOPWA, and HTF funds to help ameliorate barriers that made it difficult for low, very low, and extremely low individuals to access affordable housing in the following ways:

- The Annual Action Plan allocates HOME funds for homeownership and rental for persons with Disabilities, Individuals with intellectual, developmental, or physical disabilities. HOME and HTF application processes include incentive points for applicants that dedicate a percentage of rental units to serve ELI and low income individuals with serious mental illness. Units assisted by HTF will target extremely low income households at 30% of AMI. A portion of ESG funds support activities connecting persons with Serious Mental Illness to housing and services.
- HOME funds for homeowner repair includes incentive points that will reward communities that connect homeowner repair activities with areas undergoing concerted revitalization. MHC also including incentive points for connecting supportive services, such as GED programs, financial counseling, health and wellness, with homeowners who are being assisted by homeowner or housing replacement activity.

The lack of housing dollars, public or private dollars, is currently the major barrier to producing affordable housing to meet documented needs; the lack of sufficient household income for affordable housing results in non-activity by developers, unless federal funds, state dollars, or other incentives are offered; the lack of infrastructure in rural areas is considered a barrier due to the fact that development is controlled primarily by availability of water, sewer, and electricity. Cost becomes a major factor in affordable housing production.

The tax structure for the State allows homeowners to file and receive Homestead Exemption, lowering monthly mortgage payment considerably, taxes are assessed at a rate lower than that of rental or commercial properties. The higher assessment rate on rental properties is normally incorporated into the monthly rental fee. This tax structure directly affects the return on residential investment and serves as a disincentive to the production of affordable rental property.

The Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice in the State's 2015-2019 Con Plan summarizes

barriers to affordable housing in Mississippi. The Consolidated Plan partners address those impediments through both individual and collaborative inter-agency efforts, such as providing fair housing training, guidance, and resources to partners across the state. These efforts are outlined in our response to 91.520(a).

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The State of Mississippi continues to serve underserved households by providing financing for the ongoing development of affordable housing as well as financing the rehabilitation of homes for people and families who are low- income, elderly, and persons with disabilities.

The availability of funding is an obstacle that limits the ability of the State to meet all underserved needs. In the CDBG Program within the public facilities activity, the funding is divided between "small government" and "regular government". This allows those with a certain number in population to compete against jurisdictions of the same size. Also, there is a difference in "match requirement" for each of these categories.

HOME, ESG, HOPWA, and HTF funds were used to help ameliorate barriers that make it difficult for low and extremely low individuals to access affordable housing. During the application process, MHC provides incentive points for connecting supportive services to homeowner rehabilitation activities where areas are undergoing concerted revitalization. MHC will set aside a portion of HOME funds to assist in meeting the housing needs for people with disabilities. These funds will be available state-wide to assist in promoting homeownership by providing DPA and closing cost. HOME and HTF Programs provide incentive points for dedication of a portion of rental units for low and extremely low Income individuals at 30% AMI with serious mental illness.

ESG funds supported activities in Continua of Care that connected persons with Serious Mental Illness to housing and services. HOPWA Program addressed obstacles to meet housing needs for HIV/AIDS beneficiaries. TBRA, Permanent Supportive Housing, Transitional/Short-term Housing and Supportive Services were provided.

HOME and HTF funding along with LIHTC addressed obstacles in serving the "underserved", particularly ELI households, homeless, and persons with serious mental illness. MHC engaged participation of Public Housing Authorities and other entities through the development of the Annual Action Plan and participation in the MHC's Annual Advisory meeting. MHC and MDA worked along with Entitlements, PHAs to conduct a joint Analysis of Impediment (AI) for the State.

Services were also provided to the "underserved" through MHC's HUD Housing Counseling network. The network provided counseling and education services to households below 30% of AMI. Counseling agencies worked with PHAs and other social service organizations in providing one-on-one counseling and/or group education classes/workshops. Housing counseling and education services provided by our housing counseling network: Rental counseling, homebuyer counseling/education

classes, post-purchase counseling and foreclosure prevention services. Financial literacy, includes budgeting and credit counseling, which are elements of the counseling and education services provided.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Based on federal program requirements, the following actions are required to reduce lead-based paint hazards: 1) homeowner rehabilitation - remediation will take place as a result of testing performed to detect the presence and the action taken to reduce or eliminate the hazard through rehabilitation or reconstruction of the owner-occupied units built prior to 1978; 2) substantial rehabilitation of rental units - remediation will take place as a result of testing performed to detect the presence and the action taken to reduce or eliminate the hazard through rehabilitation; 3) ESG and HOPWA activities address lead based paint hazards on units built prior to 1978 and occupied by household members that are under 6 years of age, inspection is required and if present other housing would be located; 4) Homebuyer Assistance activities are subject to addressing lead based paint hazards on units built prior to 1978. In addition, the approach to implement lead hazard evaluation and reduction; Identify and stabilize deteriorated paint.

Through MHC's HUD Housing Counseling network, many agencies provided information on lead-based paint hazards during their housing counseling and education classes. HUD Housing Counseling awarded agencies that provide pre-purchase/homebuying, post-purchase, or rental counseling and education services are required to provide clients information on lead-based paint hazards. MHC also has a partnership with the Green and Healthy Homes Initiative to provide lead-based paint training to our counselors and provide awareness to households throughout the state. The Green and Healthy Homes Initiative provided a training at our Affordable Housing Conference during the period of performance on lead-based paint hazards and provided resources to those in attendance to distribute to households in their service area.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

By addressing the housing needs of Mississippians who are low-income, the state is working to help reduce the number of poverty-level families. Numerous studies show that housing plays a critical role in providing stability to poor families.

CDBG provides funding for economic development activities to create jobs made available to at least 51% low and moderate-income persons. This will help reduce the number of poverty-level families by providing economic opportunities and encouraging economic self-sufficiency.

MHC has set forth requirements in the Homeowner Rehabilitation, Home Rental/CHDOs and HTF applications process requiring supportive services are made available to those who benefit from federal funds. The focus is to provide asset and wealth building for these individuals and families. The concept of building wealth and assets for low income beneficiaries is required for the ESG and HOPWA Programs, as well. MHC's HUD Housing Counseling grant program worked with PHAs on funding homebuyer

education activity for households eligible for Homeownership Vouchers. In addition, MHC's HUD Housing Counseling agencies are available to assist PHA's in preparing residents for homeownership through pre-purchase counseling and homebuyer education. Those participating in the Homeownership Voucher program are required to take an 8-hour homebuyer education class. The homebuyer education class provides information from the beginning to the end of the homebuying process. Also available to residents of PHAs are budgeting/financial counseling, credit counseling and rental counseling. All counseling and education services provided are designed to assist with asset and wealth building.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The State of Mississippi does not provide funding for institutional structure activities.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The State of Mississippi will continue to provide HOME funding for homebuyer assistance activities through eligible contractors/HUD approved housing counseling agencies, which provides pre-purchase counseling and education services. Housing counseling agencies have established relationships with social service agencies, realtors, lenders and other governmental agencies. The coordination between these agencies enhance the relationships between public and private housing and social service agencies.

In the homeowner rehabilitation category, coordination between the Mississippi Department of Health and local units of government assisted in the enhancement of providing adequate disposal systems as required by State Law. In all of the housing programs, coordination with social service agencies, housing authorities, other housing agencies, local governmental agencies, state governmental agencies, and federal governmental agencies is vital in completing task for federal programs.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

The Mississippi Development Authority, Community Services Division (CSD) continues to Affirmatively Furthering Fair housing by sponsoring the HEED Conference annually. CSD required all sub-recipients to adhere to the fair housing requirements by resolution, proclamation during fair housing month in April and conducting a fair housing activity. CSD provided fair housing education for citizens and elected officials on April 23, 2019 in Belmont, Ms, April 30, 2019, in Jumpertown, MS and on May 14, 2019 in the Town of Ashland, MS on May 14, 2019.

The State of Mississippi's actions to overcome impediments to fair housing choice was addressed through educational outreach, public hearings, citizen participation, publications, compliance trainings, program implementation workshops, conferences and funding. Public hearings were held in various locations throughout the state. During these hearings, attendees were informed and provided material

pertaining to FHEO requirements and the Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Rule.

An MHC staff member also serves as a board member for HEED. Through MHC's HUD Housing Counseling program, counseling agencies attempted to overcome the effects impediments to fair housing choice by educating buyers through credit counseling and home purchase training; provided training and information on how to establish and keep good credit, particularly for first time homebuyers. Fair housing Information was provided to individuals/families at various stages of the homeownership process, renters and homeowners trying to avoid foreclosure. Additionally, housing counselors provided clients information on their fair housing rights, protected classes, and AFFH in education classes or during one-on-one counseling.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The State monitoring system represents a formal process for determining whether a grantee's project implementation conforms to federal and state regulations. The objectives of the monitoring process are: 1) to assist the grantee in carrying out activities; as described in the grantee's application for funds; 2) to assist the grantee in carrying out its project in a timely manner; 3) to determine if the grantee is conducting the project with adequate control over program and financial performance, and in a manner which minimizes the opportunity for mismanagement, fraud or waste; 4) to determine if the grantee is charging costs to the project which are eligible under applicable laws and regulations; 5) to identify potential problem areas and to assist the grantee in complying with applicable laws and regulations; 6) to assist grantees in resolving compliance problems through discussion, negotiation, or provision of technical assistance; 7) to provide adequate follow up measures to ensure that performance and compliance deficiencies or problems are corrected by grantees, and; 8) to consider the scope, nature and timing of activities funded with program income (if applicable) retained by grant recipients and subject to requirements and carefully factor those considerations into the monitoring schedule, including scheduling of onsite reviews. The standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan to be used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the ESG, HOPWA, HOME and HTF, including minority business outreach and comprehensive planning requirements are attached.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The State of Mississippi's Public Notice for the 2019 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Review (CAPER)/Draft will be published on or about May 24-26 in The Clarion Ledger, Jackson Advocate, La Noticia, Mississippi, and the Sun Herald newspapers. As part of the Public Hearing process for the development of the Annual Action Plan, performance reports for the previous year was provided and comments welcomed. MDA mailed a statewide CSD Instruction and Program Bulletin was mailed statewide to all units of general local government and other interested parties of the availability of the CAPER for public comment. The 2019 CAPER Draft will be made available on the Mississippi Home Corporation website at www.mshomecorp.com and the Mississippi Development Authority website at www.mississippi.org/csd. Copies will be made available upon request at Mississippi Home Corporation at 735 Riverside Drive, Jackson, Mississippi 39202 during office hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Public access including the availability to persons with disabilities and non-English speaking persons are

available upon request. The CAPER will be available for more than the 15-day public comment period due the federal holiday, May 28, 2021 to June 14, 2021.

The Public Notice for the 2019 Caper/Draft is published to obtain comments from the public for a period of 15 days from May 28, 2021 – June 14, 2021 for the CDBG Program.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The state continues to use Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding for economic development and community development activities to enhance the growth and development of local communities with a direct benefit for low to moderate income citizens.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

The list of projects that should have been inspected on-site for this program year, based on the schedule 92.504 are attached. The list includes projects that were inspected along with summary of issues and deficiencies detected, during inspections are disclosed in the CAPER. In addition, projects that were not inspected along with reasons and remedies to the situation are addressed and disclosed in the CAPER. Projects due for inspections were completed and inspected according to 92.504 (d). See attachment under Administration of the CAPER.

During the period July 1, 2019-June 30, 2020 the common deficiencies found were smoke detector replacement/batteries, faucet repair, toilet stabilization, and fire extinguisher replacement.

During the Program Year, projects were selected for inspection based on risk analysis, compliance and rescheduling of projects with previous deficiencies. As a result, some projects were not inspected, during the time period of the CAPER's Program Year. MHC will continue with the inspection of these missed projects in a timely manner to eliminate a gap in inspection of projects and activities, reduce risk associated with projects. continue to track performance, monitoring of the period of affordability and adhering to regulation at 92.04 (d).

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

The State of Mississippi enforces affirmative marketing actions to include methods of informing the public about fair housing laws by presenting information at federal programs workshops, setting up booths and distributing FHEO material. Incorporating the Fair Housing logo on documentation and material distributed, Ensuring the posting of Fair Housing posters in English and Spanish and in areas that are concentrated with Vietnamese speaking persons. Pre-homebuyer counseling is required for applicants seeking homebuyer assistance funding. During the counseling session, affirmatively marketing is addressed. Throughout the year, MHC actively promotes fair housing to ensure citizens awareness of opportunities that exist. Project signs disclosing FHEO logo are required on rental properties. Affirmative Marketing plans are required by all HOME grant recipients to encourage outreach to those persons who are not likely to apply for housing assistance. The outreach to minority and women owned businesses is encouraged in the HOME Homeowner Rehabilitation and Rental activities. This outreach is vital to recipients of the HOME funding because future application rating

factors include the use of minority/women owned businesses on previous awards. During the monitoring process, the State verifies solicitation for services/contractors to minority/women owned businesses and Section 3 requirements. MHC finalized the collaboration efforts with the State's Public Housing Authorities and Entitlement communities in preparation of a joint/regional Analysis of Impediment. As a result, the collaboration effort enhanced the ability to conduct affirmative outreach, identify barriers and the needs of housing statewide. MHC made effort to adhere to 92.351 (b) by conducting outreach during workshops and conferences held. In addition, outreach was conducted by advertising public hearings and the Action Plan on MHC's website and in newspapers state-wide. MHC also conducted outreach among Limited English Proficiency populations to ensure knowledge and federal programs availability.

HUD approved housing counseling agencies are also required to display Fair Housing posters within their lobby and counseling areas. Additionally, MHC included the Fair Housing poster in English within homebuyer's guidebooks, which are distributed throughout the state to those interested in homeownership.

As a requirement for homebuyer assistance funding under the HOME program, applicants must attend an 8-hour homebuyer education course from a HUD approved housing counseling agency. During the homebuyer education course, housing counselors provide information on the homeownership process as well as fair housing rights, protected classes, and AFFH.

Throughout the year MHC's counseling network will address affirmative marketing through homebuyer fairs, resource materials, education classes, and counseling services. An example of fair housing information that is distributed is HUD's Fair Housing – Equal Opportunity for All booklet. These affirmative marketing actions not only promote fair housing but also ensures citizens are aware of the opportunities that exist.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period: \$ 50,042.82

Amount received during reporting period: \$54,146.80

Total amount expended during reporting period: \$2,100.00

Amount expended for TBRA: \$0.00

Balance on hand at end of reporting period: \$102,089.60

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing).

91.320(j)

The State of Mississippi will directly allocate funding to eligible entities to provide down payment/closing cost assistance to eligible disabled and non-disabled households throughout the state. This set-aside provides for long-term affordable housing for low and extremely low income households. Housing activities under this program demonstrate low default rates and the periods of affordability are satisfied. Applicants will receive in-depth counseling and offered extensive technical assistance before and after loan closings. Eligible entities will promote fair housing and ensure citizens are aware of these opportunities.

During the reporting period, the State received an allocation of \$3,000,000 for providing and maintaining affordable rental housing for extremely low-income households under the National Housing Trust Fund Program (HTF). This program is designed to include the coordination of LIHTC for the development of affordable housing. Incentives are offered for LIHTC Developers to construct and rehab developments for the extremely low-income households, which are inclusive of homeless and serious mental ill populations. In addition, HOME Funds are available for Rental Housing set-aside and Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO) Developments. These activities are also coordinated to work in conjunction with LIHTC developments. The State of Mississippi will continue coordinating HOME and HTF funds with LIHTC.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	70	67
Tenant-based rental assistance	57	188
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	19	67
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	57	0

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

During the program year July 1, 2019 -June 30,2020, the actual goal for short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family actual goal was 95.7% (70 one-year goal compared to 67 actual). During the program year July 1, 2019 -June 30,2020, the actual goal for Tenant-based rental assistance was 329.8% (57 one-year goal compared to 188 actual). During the program year July 1, 2019 -June 30,2020, the actual goal for Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased or operated with HOPWA funds was 352.6% (67 one-year goal compared to 67 actual). During the program year July 1, 2019 -June 30,2020, the actual goal for Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds was 0% (0 one-year goal compared to 57). During the program year July 1, 2019 -June 30,2020, the actual total goals were 158.6% compared to the one-year goals. The actual number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided exceeded the one-year goal indicated in all.

CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h)

Describe the extent to which the grantee complied with its approved HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.

There were no HTF units completed during the program period 7/1/2019-6/30/2020.

Tenure Type	0 – 30% AMI	0% of 30+ to poverty line (when poverty line is higher than 30% AMI)	% of the higher of 30+ AMI or poverty line to 50% AMI	Total Occupied Units	Units Completed, Not Occupied	Total Completed Units
Rental	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homebuyer	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 15 - CR-56 HTF Units in HTF activities completed during the period

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name MISSISSIPPI
Organizational DUNS Number 809399686
EIN/TIN Number 646000736
Identify the Field Office JACKSON
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance

ESG Contact Name

Prefix Dr
First Name Benjamin
Middle Name W
Last Name Mokry
Suffix 0
Title Chief Strategy Officer

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	735 Riverside Dr
Street Address 2	0
City	Jackson
State	MS
ZIP Code	-
Phone Number	6017184611
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	ben.mokry@mshc.com

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Mr
First Name	T'Juan
Last Name	Rucker
Suffix	0
Title	Federal Grants Specialist
Phone Number	6017184676
Extension	0
Email Address	T'juan.rucker@mshc.com

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date	07/01/2019
Program Year End Date	06/30/2020

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: MULTI-COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICE AGENCY
City: Meridian
State: MS
Zip Code: 39301, 6411
DUNS Number:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 51836

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: CARE LODGE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE SHELTER

City: Meridian

State: MS

Zip Code: 39302, 5331

DUNS Number: 062719963

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 112710

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: THE CENTER FOR VIOLENCE PREVENTION

City: Pearl

State: MS

Zip Code: 39288, 6279

DUNS Number: 879721124

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 86470

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: GULF COAST WOMEN'S CENTER FOR NONVIOLENCE

City: Biloxi

State: MS

Zip Code: 39533, 0333

DUNS Number: 154890776

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 150724

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: S.A.F.E., INC.

City: Tupelo

State: MS

Zip Code: 38804, 3730

DUNS Number: 028025554

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 153221

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: WWISCAA

City: Greenville

State: MS

Zip Code: 38701, 2656

DUNS Number: 139611180

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 122486

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Mississippi United to End Homelessness

City: Jackson

State: MS

Zip Code: 39225, 4147

DUNS Number: 078837999

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 255195

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Hancock Resource Center

City: Waveland

State: MS

Zip Code: 39576, 2557

DUNS Number: 828060629

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 155471

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Salvation Army - Gulf Coast Area Command

City: Gulfport

State: MS

Zip Code: 39501, 4717

DUNS Number: 051037950

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 49368

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Open Doors Homeless Coalition

City: Gulfport

State: MS

Zip Code: 39503, 6015

DUNS Number: 626776277

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 266587

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: BACK BAY MISSION

City: Biloxi

State: MS

Zip Code: 39530, 2968

DUNS Number: 137021259

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 147345

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Voice of Calvary Ministries

City: Jackson

State: MS

Zip Code: 39203, 2604

DUNS Number: 082494691

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 65508

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Community Counseling Services

City: Columbus

State: MS

Zip Code: 39704, 2590

DUNS Number: 084955053

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 113018

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: St. Gabriel Mercy Center, Inc.

City: Mound Bayou

State: MS

Zip Code: 38762, 0824

DUNS Number: 083126495

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 24207

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Stewpot Community Services

City: Jackson

State: MS

Zip Code: 39203, 2635

DUNS Number: 083912857

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 115850

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Guardian Shelter for Battered Families-Natchez c/o Catholic Charities, Inc.

City: Jackson

State: MS

Zip Code: 39202, 3443

DUNS Number: 119747822

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 154975

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Community Care Network

City: Ocean Springs

State: MS

Zip Code: 39564, 8473

DUNS Number: 189638419

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 195497

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	0
Female	0
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	0
18-24	0
25 and over	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
Total	0

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	0	0	0	0
Victims of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0
Elderly	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0
Persons with Disabilities:				
Severely Mentally Ill	0	0	0	0
Chronic Substance Abuse	0	0	0	0
Other Disability	0	0	0	0
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	79,474
Total Number of bed-nights provided	44,868
Capacity Utilization	56.46%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

ESG applicants/sub-recipients are required to describe the process used to evaluate through performance measurement as a tool to capture information about program performance to determine how programs and activities are meeting established needs and goals. Assessment information is used to make improvements to the sub-recipient ESG program.

Additionally, the State uses applicant's capability as demonstrated through experience, the ability to provide rapid rehousing and homelessness prevention services to program participants within their service areas.

ESG funds are awarded based on applicant's capacity, experience, ability to match requested funds, their involvement in their local CoC and those who adhere to the strategic goals, priorities and objectives in this allocation plan and with MHC guidelines for how applications are evaluated. ESG funds are used to support the operation and maintenance of emergency shelters, provide rental and utility assistance to the homeless and those at risk of becoming homeless. Grantees must use a Coordinated Entry System (CES) to assist persons and referred to the appropriate organization and report outcomes.

In consultation with the CoC's, a by name list was developed and coordinated entry was promoted through training to all projects. This contributed to the results of 56% capacity utilization.

All program participants received case management and/or were referred to other community resources. The Project Outcomes Data can be found in the SAGE HMIS Reporting Repository attached to the CAPER.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	240,743	257,896	167,193
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	7,171	17,689	9,053
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	75,796	99,080	89,528
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	323,710	374,665	265,774

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	323,704	512,311	334,567
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	164,441	275,757	158,332
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	192,783	247,904	300,655
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	680,928	1,035,972	793,554

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Essential Services	94,593	71,917	59,213
Operations	653,178	689,201	562,776
Renovation	0	0	0

Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	747,771	761,118	621,989

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Street Outreach	87,435	89,946	89,235
HMIS	122,651	159,488	84,106
Administration	88,173	103,085	126,899

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2017	2018	2019
	2,050,668	2,524,274	1,981,557

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2017	2018	2019
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	58,372	209,331	176,478
Other Federal Funds	809,289	569,656	416,368
State Government	398,206	637,854	434,140
Local Government	4,800	27,010	3,300

Private Funds	207,968	560,843	748,685
Other	620,163	540,793	408,605
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
Total Match Amount	2,098,798	2,545,487	2,187,576

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2017	2018	2019
	4,149,466	5,069,761	4,169,133

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

Attachment

CDBG PR28

Performance and Evaluation Report
 For Grant Year 2010
 As of 09/05/2020

Grant Number B10DC280901

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation		\$32,916,330.00
2)	Program Income		
3)	Program Income received in IDIS	\$497,119.20	
3 a)	Program Income received from Section 108 Projects (or SI type)	\$0.00	
4)	Adjustment to compare total program income	\$0.00	
5)	Total program Income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$497,119.20	
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00	
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$33,443,449.20	

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation		
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$44,265,887.49	
10)	Adjustment to compare total obligated to recipients	\$7,617,417.51	
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$31,882,330.00	
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$1,088,389.00	
13)	Adjustment to compare total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00	
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (Sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$1,088,389.00	
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00	
16)	Adjustment to compare total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00	
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (Sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$0.00	
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration (with)	\$988,389.00	

19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	\$497,119.20
21)	Adjustment: to compute total redistributed	
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$497,119.20
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	\$596,238.40
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	\$596,238.40
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	\$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	\$1,088,389.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$1,088,389.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	\$23,177,103.49
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	\$0,705,806.51
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$23,883,300.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Office of Community Planning and Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 State of Mississippi
 Performance and Evaluation Report
 For Grant Year 2010
 AS of 09/01/2020

PAGE: 4
 DATE: 09-01-20
 TIME: 15:30

Grant Number: B1DC280001

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	\$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$32,946,330.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$497,119.20
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$33,443,449.20
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types Combined	\$2,820,359.11
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$2,820,359.11
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$32,946,330.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$497,119.20
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$33,443,449.20
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	8.43%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$2,820,359.11
60)	Amount subject to Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$32,946,330.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	8.56%

Grant Number: 3102028001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit:

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2010 - 2012
 64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: No Yes

	Grant Year	2010	2011	2012	Total
63) Benefit LMI persons and households (L)		27,253,304.38	26,495,158.15	23,080,322.83	72,829,490.40
66) Benefit LMI, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
67) Benefit LMI, other adjustments		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
68) Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)		27,253,304.38	26,495,158.12	23,080,322.83	72,829,490.40
69) Percent/Eliminate Slum/Dilapid		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
70) Percent Slum/Dilapid, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
71) Total, Percent Slum/Dilapid (sum of lines 69 and 70)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
72) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs		92,274.00	490,687.00	648,329.89	692,895.40
73) Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
74) Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)		92,274.00	490,687.00	648,329.89	692,895.40
75) Acquisition, New Construction, Rehabilitation/Special Areas uncountable		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
76) Total of sub-items subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)		92,274.00	490,687.00	648,329.89	692,895.40
77) Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
79) State Administration		1,038,399.00	855,742.71	769,094.70	2,711,587.81
80) Technical Assistance		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
81) Local Administration		1,731,976.11	2,646,800.79	1,801,987.30	5,038,837.90
82) Section 108 Payments		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Office of Community Planning and Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 State of Mississippi

DATE: 09-30-20
 TIME: 2:10
 PAGE: 1

Performance and Evaluation Report

For Grant Year 2013
 As of 09/30/2020

Grant Number B13DC280001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	524,504,655.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program Income recorded in IDIS	\$446,926.00
3 a)	Program Income received from Section 108 Projects (for S-type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$446,926.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$74,951,581.00

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$24,714,354.00
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-51,010,539.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$23,703,815.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$835,139.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$835,139.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$0.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$725,139.00

Performance and Evaluation Report

For Grant Year 2013

As of 09/30/2020

Grant Number: B130C280001

19)	Program Income	5147,089.01
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	-4162.01
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	5446,926.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	8896,637.99
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	-5896,637.99
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	50.00
26)	Reclaimed by recipients	50.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	50.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	50.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration:	\$835,139.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	-519,193.26
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$315,945.74
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$38,455,244.43
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	\$38,455,244.43
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-\$19,753,929.13
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$23,701,315.00

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	\$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and <2)	\$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$24,504,655.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$446,926.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$24,951,581.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	\$3,565,110.00
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$3,565,110.00
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$24,504,655.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$446,926.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$24,951,581.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	24.29%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$2,633,670.00
60)	Amount subject to Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$24,504,655.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	10.75%

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit grant years: 2013 - 2015
64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [**No**]

	Grant Year	2013	2014	2015	Total
55) Benefit LMI persons and households (L)		35,725,273.42	22,599,328.63	20,518,327.24	78,842,929.30
56) Benefit LMI, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
57) Benefit LMI, other adjustments		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
58) Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 55-57)		35,725,273.42	22,599,328.63	20,518,327.24	78,842,929.30
59) Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Dilight		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
60) Prevent Slum/Dilight, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
61) Total, Prevent Slum/Dilight (sum of lines 59 and 60)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
62) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
63) Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
64) Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 62 and 63)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
65) Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas (nonaccountable)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
66) Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 60, 71, 74, and 75)		35,725,273.42	22,599,328.63	20,518,327.24	78,842,929.30
67) Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)		1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00
69) Other Disbursements		1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00
70) State Administration		885,189.00	804,582.00	763,000.00	2,392,771.00
80) Technical Assistance		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
81) Local Administration		2,729,971.00	1,638,273.95	1,595,682.05	6,003,943.00
82) Section 108 repayments		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Performance and Evaluation Report
 For Grant Year 2014
 As of 10/23/2020
 Grant Number 914DC260001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	\$23,486,071.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income received in IDIS	\$490,621.00
3 a)	Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SL type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjust prior LIA compute total program income	\$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$490,621.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$23,976,692.00

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$21,148,589.21
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-51,444,300.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$77,704,289.21
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$804,582.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$804,582.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$0.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration matrix	\$704,582.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Office of Community Planning and Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 State of Mississippi

DATE: 10-23-20
 TIME: 16:51
 PAGE: 2

Performance and Evaluation Report
 For Grant Year 2014
 As of 10/23/2020

Grant Number B14DC26M031

19)	Program Income	\$15,189,831.71
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Sector 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	-\$14,039,210.71
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	5490,621.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	-\$14,699,210.71
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	
23 a)	Sector 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	\$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	-\$14,699,210.71
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	\$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	5804,582.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	5804,582.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	\$24,237,608.58
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-\$1,560,401.37
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$22,677,207.21

Performance and Evaluation Report
 For Grant Year 2014
 As of 10/23/2020

Grant Number B14DC280001

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in TD15 for PS	50.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	50.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	50.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$23,486,071.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$490,621.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$23,976,692.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in LD15 for P/A from all fund types - Combined	\$2,442,861.95
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$2,442,861.95
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$23,486,071.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$490,621.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$23,976,692.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	10.19%
59)	Disbursed in TD15 for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$2,332,526.00
60)	Amount subject to Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$23,486,071.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	9.93%

ID:5 - PR28

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
Scale of Mississipi

DATE: 10-23-20
TIME: 15:51
PAGE: 4

Performance and Evaluation Report

For Grant Year 2014
As of 10/23/2020

Grant Number B17DC280001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2013 - 2015

64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test: [**No**]

	Grant Year	2013	2014	2015	Total
65) Benefit LMI persons and Households (1)		36,723,273.42	22,510,328.63	20,518,327.24	78,842,929.30
66) Benefit LMI, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
67) Benefit LMI, other adjustments		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
68) Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)		36,723,273.42	22,509,328.63	20,518,327.24	78,842,929.30
69) Prevent/eliminate Slum/Blight		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
70) Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
71) Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
72) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
73) Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
74) Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
75) Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas nonmountable		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
76) Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit: (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)		36,723,273.42	22,509,328.63	20,518,327.24	78,842,929.30
77) Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)		1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00
78) Other Discoursements		1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00
79) State Administration		833,130.00	804,582.00	660,300.00	2,398,721.00
80) Technical Assistance		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
81) Local Administration		2,729,971.00	1,636,279.55	1,895,592.35	6,083,843.00
82) Section 108 repayments		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Performance and Evaluation Report
 For Grant Year 2015
 As of 10/17/2020

Grant Number 615DC280001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	\$23,051,271.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program Income received in IDIS	\$852,000.00
3 a)	Program Income received from Section 108 Projects (for 51 type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$852,000.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$23,903,271.00

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$22,979,103.42
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-\$760,000.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$22,219,103.42
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$760,000.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$760,000.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$660,000.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Office of Community Planning and Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 State of Mississippi

DATE: 10-12-20
 TIME: 17:15
 PAGE: 2

Performance and Evaluation Report

For Grant Year 2015

As of 10/12/2020

Grant Number B15DC780001

19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	\$852,000.00
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	\$852,000.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$857,000.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	-\$852,000.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	\$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	\$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 25 and 27)	\$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	\$760,000.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$760,000.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$22,213,919.79
38)	Drawn for all other activities	-\$907,067.71
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$21,306,851.58

Performance and Evaluation Report
 For Grant Year - 2015
 As of 10/12/2020

Grant Number B15DC290001

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in TDIS for PS	\$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$23,051,271.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 3)	\$852,000.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$23,903,271.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in TDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	\$2,455,592.05
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$2,455,592.05
53)	Amount subject to Combined expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$23,051,271.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 3)	\$852,000.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$23,903,271.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	10.27%
59)	Disbursed in TDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$2,420,930.00
60)	Amount subject to Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$23,051,271.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	10.50%

LDIS - PR28

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Mississippi

DATE: 10-12-20
TIME: 1:15
PAGE: 4

Performance and Evaluation Report

For Grant Year 2015

As of 10/17/2020

Grant Number B15DC280001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

	2013	2014	2015	Total
63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2013 - 2015				
64) Final FSR for compliance with the overall benefit test: [No]				
	Grant Year			
65) Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	36,725,272.43	22,595,328.63	20,513,327.24	78,842,929.30
65) Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00
67) Benefit LMI, other adjustments	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
68) Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	36,725,272.43	22,595,328.63	20,513,327.24	78,842,929.30
69) Prevent/Fillinate Slum/Blight	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00
70) Prevent Slum/Blight, : 08 activities	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00
71) Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00
72) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00
73) Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
74) Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00
75) Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Access noncountable	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
76) Total disbursement/s subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	36,725,273.43	22,595,328.63	20,513,327.24	78,842,929.30
77) Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
74) Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00
79) State Administration	635,139.00	604,582.00	763,000.00	2,399,721.00
80) Technical Assistance	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
81) Local Administration	2,729,971.00	1,636,279.95	1,695,592.05	6,063,843.00
82) Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation		523,315,139.00
2)	Program Income		
3)	Program Income received from LDLS	\$506,699.32	
3 a)	Program Income received from Section 108 Projects (var SL type)	\$0.00	
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$1,204,376.00	
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$1,711,075.32	
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00	
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$25,026,214.32	

8. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation		
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$23,276,922.24	
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-\$760,000.00	
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$22,516,922.24	
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$760,000.00	
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00	
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$760,000.00	
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance		
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00	
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$660,000.00	

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Office of Community Planning and Development
 Integrated Disclosure and Information System
 State of Mississippi

DATE: 10-15-20
 TIME: 17:28
 Page: 2

Performance and Evaluation Report
 For Grant Year 2016
 As of 10/15/2020
 Grant Number B1C0C780001

19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	\$1,711,075.32
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$1,711,075.32
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	\$1,751,075.32
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	-\$1,751,075.32
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	\$580,305.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	-\$104,777.40
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$475,527.60
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 repayments	\$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 repayments	\$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	\$21,638,371.68
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	\$3,553,649.79
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$25,192,021.47

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in LDIS for PS	\$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	\$23,315,139.00
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$1,711,075.32
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$25,026,214.32
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in LDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	\$2,404,486.50
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	-\$438,880.85
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$1,965,605.65
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$23,315,139.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$1,711,075.32
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$25,026,214.32
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	7.85%
59)	Disbursed in LDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$7,351,606.50
60)	Amount subject to Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$23,315,139.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.08%

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit grant years 2016 - 2018
 64) Final PER for compliance with low overall benefit years: No

	Grant Year	2016	2017	2018	Total
53) Benefit LMI persons and households (1)		0874130.2	19485416.40	15786382.56	54459967.13
56) Benefit LMI, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	2.00	2.00
57) Benefit LMI, other adjustments		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
58) Total Benefit LMI (sum of lines 55-57)		0874130.2	19485416.40	15786382.56	54459967.13
59) Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
70) Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
71) Total Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
72) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
73) Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
74) Total Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
75) Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable		2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00
76) Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)		18314192.18	19455243.40	15786382.56	54459967.13
77) Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)		1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00
74) Other Disbursements		1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00
79) State Administration		580305.00	2.00	0.00	580305.00
80) Technical Assistance		2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00
81) Local Administration		224161.50	1341863.00	1146075.54	4372123.08
82) Section 108 repayments		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Performance and Evaluation Report
 For Grant Year 2017
 As of 10/15/2020

Grant Number B17DC2809C1

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	\$22,802,114.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income received in IJIS	\$62,063.02
3 a)	Program income received from Section 103 Projects (for SJ type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$62,063.02
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$23,424,177.02

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	\$22,243,585.19
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$736,136.90
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	\$21,507,448.29
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$740,000.40
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$740,000.40
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$640,000.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Office of Community Planning and Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 State of Mississippi

DATE: 10-15-20
 TIME: 17:19
 PAGE: 2

Performance and Evaluation Report

For Grant Year 2017

As of 10/15/2020

Grant Number U17DC28001

19)	Program Income		
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	\$7,861,742.27	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	-\$7,239,679.25	
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$622,063.02	
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	-\$7,861,742.27	
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00	
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	\$7,861,742.27	
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	\$0.00	
26)	Retained by recipients	\$622,063.02	
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	-\$622,063.02	
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00	

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	\$0.00	
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00	
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$0.00	
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00	
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00	
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00	
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00	
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00	
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$20,527,282.40	
38)	Drawn for all other activities	-\$2,084,990.55	
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$18,742,291.75	

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Office of Community Planning and Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 State of Mississippi
 Performance and Evaluation Report
 For Grant Year 2017
 As of 10/15/2020
 Grant Number B17DC180001

DATE: 10-15-20
 TIME: 17:19
 PAGE: 3

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	\$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$22,802,114.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 3)	\$622,063.02
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$23,424,177.02
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	\$1,371,866.00
51)	Adjustment to compute total disburse for P/A	-\$339,342.73
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$1,002,523.27
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$22,802,114.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 3)	\$622,063.02
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$23,424,177.02
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	4.28%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$1,232,346.00
60)	Amount subject to Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$22,802,114.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	5.40%

Performance and Evaluation Report

For Grant Year 2017
 As of 10/15/2020

Grant Number BL/DCC280001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

63) Period specified for benefit: grant years 2016 - 2018
 64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit cost: [**No**]

	Grant Year	2016	2017	2018	Total
65) Benefit LMI persons and households (1)		*9,874,190.18	*3,483,476.42	*5,150,350.65	54,459,967.13
66) Benefit LMI, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
67) Benefit LMI, other adjustments		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
68) Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)		*9,874,190.18	*3,483,476.42	*5,150,350.65	54,459,967.13
69) Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
70) Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
71) Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
72) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
73) Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
74) Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
75) Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
76) Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 69, 71, 74, and 75)		13,914,162.18	13,485,276.42	*5,150,350.65	54,459,967.13
77) Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
74) Other Disbursements		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
79) State Administration		580,305.00	0.00	0.00	580,305.00
80) Technical Assistance		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
81) Local Administration		1,824,781.50	1,347,862.00	1,146,075.28	4,312,123.08
82) Section 108 repayments		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Performance and Evaluation Report
 For Grant Year 2018
 As of 10/16/2020
 Grant Number B18DC280001

Part I: Financial Status

A. Sources of State CDBG Funds

1)	State Allocation	\$24,891,669.00
2)	Program Income	\$0.00
3)	Program Income received in IDIS	\$0.00
3 a)	Program Income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	\$600,000.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$600,000.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$0.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$25,491,669.00

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	\$24,233,621.13
9)	Obligated to recipients	-\$800,000.00
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	\$23,433,621.13
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$800,000.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$800,000.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$0.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$0.00

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 Office of Community Planning and Development
 Integrated Disbursement and Information System
 State of Mississippi

DATE: 10-16-20
 TIME: 17:51
 PAGE: 2

Performance and Evaluation Report

For Grant Year 2019
 As of 10/16/2020

Grant Number B18DC280001

19)	Program Income	51,312,621.00
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	-51,223,749.81
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	\$ 18,871.19
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	-51,342,621.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	\$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	-51,342,621.00
26)	Relinquished by recipients	50.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	50.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	50.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	50.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	\$16,306,436.13
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-55,098,190.29
40)	Total drawn to all other activities	\$11,208,245.84

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	\$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$24,891,669.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$600,000.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$25,491,669.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	\$1,146,075.58
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$1,146,075.58
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$24,891,669.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$600,000.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$25,491,669.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	4.50%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$1,086,375.58
60)	Amount subject to Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$24,891,669.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	4.36%

1015 - PR28

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning and Development
Integrated Disbursement and Information System
State of Mississippi

DATE: 10-16-20
TIME: 17:51
PAGE: 4

Performance and Evaluation Report

For Grant Year 2018
As of 10/16/2020

Grant Number B18DC280001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

	Period specified for benefit: grant years		Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit: best:		Grant Year	Total
	2016	2018	[]		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2016	-	2018		
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit: best:	[NO]		
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	19,814,190.78	19,485,416.40	15,762,362.55	54,459,967.13	0.00
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00	2.30	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	0.00	0.00	3.30	0.00	0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	19,814,190.78	19,485,416.40	15,762,362.55	54,459,967.13	0.00
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00	2.30	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00	2.30	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00	2.30	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Spacial Areas, noncountable	19,814,191.18	19,485,416.40	15,760,300.55	54,459,967.13	1.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	19,814,191.18	19,485,416.40	15,760,300.55	54,459,967.13	1.00
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00
78)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00
79)	State Administration	563,323.20	0.00	0.00	563,323.20	0.00
80)	Technical Assistance	3.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00
81)	Local Administration	1,341,866.00	1,446,075.58	4,312,123.08	7,140,069.66	0.00
82)	Section LMR repayments	3.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00

Performance and Evaluation Report

For Grant Year 2019
As of 10/20/2020

Grant Number 319DC280001

Part I: Financial Status**A. Sources of State CDBG Funds**

1)	State Allocation	\$24,939,374.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program Income received in TDIS	\$617,291.81
3 a)	Program Income received from Section 108 Projects (for ST type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	-\$181,228.81
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 2 and 4)	\$136,163.00
5)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$25,075,537.00

B. State CDBG Resources by Use

8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$13,522,019.30
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	-\$87,175.70
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$12,694,843.60
12)	Set aside for State Administrator	\$800,000.00
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administrator	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administrator (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$800,000.00
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$0.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administrator match	\$0.00

Slide of 'Misses'.ppt
 Performance and Evaluation Report

For Grant Year 2019
 As of 10/20/2020

Grant Number B19DC280001

19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	\$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	\$617,291.81
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	-\$617,291.81
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	\$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	\$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00

C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources

29)	Drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities	\$3,129,833.87
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	-\$2,646,030.51
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$483,794.36

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap

41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS	\$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$24,939,374.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$136,163.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$25,075,537.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%

E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap

50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined	\$283,100.00
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$283,100.00
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$24,939,374.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$136,163.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$25,075,537.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	1.13%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$275,100.00
60)	Amount subject to Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$24,939,374.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	1.10%

Performance and Evaluation Report

For Grant Year 2019
 As of 10/20/2020

Grant Number: B19DC280001

Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit

	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2019	—	2021	
63)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit level:	[No]	
		Grant Year			Total
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (%)	2,846,733.87	0.00	0.00	2,846,733.87
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other activities	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	2,846,733.87	0.00	0.00	2,846,733.87
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Spec: all Areas non-courthouse	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	2,846,733.87	0.00	0.00	2,846,733.87
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
78)	Other Disbursements	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00
79)	State Administrator	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
80)	Technical Assistance	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
81)	Local Administration	293,100.00	0.00	0.00	293,100.00
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Monitoring Standards

Mississippi Home Corporation Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) & Housing Opportunity for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) Programs Monitoring Standards and Procedures

The standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the ESG and HOPWA programs is set forth in 24 CFR part 574 and 24 CFR part 576 includes remote and on-site monitoring. HOPWA funds have been allocated for construction and rehabilitation but there were no construction or rehabilitation work during the 2018 CAPER Period.

Remote Monitoring procedures

The ESG/HOPWA remote monitoring system is an ongoing routine where we interact with our sub-recipient/project sponsor on a regular basis. The ongoing monitoring system is used to ensure accountability, effective and efficient use of resources and help assess responses to community needs. These interactions touch on submission of adequate supporting documentation. This monitoring system is designed to track program expenses and provides quick access to the spending of program funds. All remote monitoring includes a review of program activities and participant files:

- Financial File Review of Invoices and Checks
- Participant file review and confidentiality via HMIS:
 - Assessment (type of assistance)
 - Program Eligibility
 - Consent forms
 - Release and Obtain Information (ROI)
 - Income Verification
 - Landlord rental agreement
 - Rent Reasonableness/FMR
 - Habitability Standard
 - Lead-Based Paint
 - Termination of Assistance

An ongoing remote monitoring system provides a comprehensive review of the financials and participants files which allow issues to be addressed immediately.

Onsite Monitoring Procedures

1. Notify sub-recipient/project sponsor of upcoming monitoring
2. Schedule appointment with sub-recipient/project sponsor
3. Send letter to sub-recipient/project sponsor identifying areas to be monitored
4. Entrance conference with staff
5. Facility tour
6. Document using monitoring checklist the following:

- Environmental Review Records (24 CFR part 50 and 58)
 - Procurement (24 CFR part 84 or 24 CFR part 85)
 - Other Federal Requirements
 - Drug-Free Policy Statement 24 CFR 21.200
 - Drug -Free Workplace Policy 24 CFR part 21
 - Non-Discrimination, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and other Equal Opportunity Requirements 24 CFR part 8
 - Fair Housing and Equal Employment posters
 - MBE/WBE participation
 - Lead Based Paint 24 CFR 5.1215
 - Section 3 24 CFR part 135
 - Habitability Standard
 - Access
 - Food Preparation
 - Space and Security
 - Sanitary Facilities
 - Structure and Materials
 - Illumination and Electricity
 - Fire Safety-Sleeping/Common Areas
 - Liability insurance
 - Record Retention
7. Exit review with staff
 8. Complete report
 9. Send monitoring report to sub-recipient/project sponsor
 10. File documentation

HOME MONITORING PROCESS

- I. Introduction
- II. Selection
 - A. Drawdown Activity
 - B. Project Status Report
 - C. Correspondence
 - D. Past Performance
- III. Scheduling
- IV. On-Site Monitoring
 - A. Desk Review
 - B. Monitoring Review
 - C. Monitoring Report

HOME MONITORING SYSTEM

I. Introduction

The monitoring system represents a formal process for determining whether a grantee's project implementation conforms to federal and state regulations. The objectives of the monitoring processing are:

- to assist the grantee in carrying out activities, as described in the grantee's application for funds;
- to assist the grantee in carrying out its project in a timely manner;
- to determine if the grantee is conducting the project with adequate control over program and financial performance, and in a manner which minimizes the opportunity for mismanagement, fraud or waste;
- to determine if the grantee is charging costs to the project which are eligible under applicable laws and regulations;
- to identify potential problem areas and to assist the grantee in complying with applicable laws and regulations;
- to assist grantees in resolving compliance problems through discussion, negotiation, or provision of technical assistance;
- to provide adequate follow-up measures to ensure that performance and compliance deficiencies or problems are corrected by grantees;
- to consider the scope, nature and timing of activities funded with program income retained by sub-recipients and subject to requirements and carefully factor those considerations into the monitoring schedule, including scheduling of on-site reviews.

II. Selection Criteria

Projects are selected for on-site reviews based on the following sources of information. This information is used to identify program status and accomplishments, problems and potential problems. Analysis of this data by staff helps determine the need and the schedule for on-site reviews, as well as the compliance areas to be examined.

- A. Draw-down Activity - Each project must have been cleared in the areas of environmental, special conditions as applicable prior to receiving funds with the exception of application preparation. Staff tracks each grantee's rate of expenditures. All projects will be monitored at least once during the life of the project. Management may decide if some projects will be monitored more than once. In the event of implementation problems, lack of activity or a sudden change in activity, the program may qualify for an on-site review. In most cases, monitoring will be scheduled when project construction activities are complete. Special circumstances such as implementation problems or major changes in project activities may require an on-site visit prior to full completion of the project.
- B. Annual Status Report - All grantees are required to submit an annual status report which outlines accomplishments, problems and anticipated activities for each program.
- C. Correspondence - General communication with a grantee whether oral or written may indicate implementation problems or potential problems. Should continuous communication with a grantee or its representative reveal a problem or potential problem, the program may qualify for an on-site review.
- D. Past Performance - Grantees that have been funded previously and have had significant monitoring or audit findings may qualify for an on-site review at any stage of project implementation.

Any combination of the above factors may determine the need to schedule an on-site monitoring review.

III. Scheduling

Staff through coordination with the grantee, arranges the day and time of the visit. The grantee is then notified in writing prior to the routine on-site review. The notification includes the following:

- the date of the on-site review, with the time of the entrance interview and approximate time of the exit interview
- name(s) and number(s) of the person(s) conducting the review
- purpose of the review

- a request that the grantee's representative and other appropriate staff be available during the review

MHC reserves the right to reschedule monitoring reviews at the mutual convenience of all parties involved.

IV. On-Site Monitoring

Staff are responsible for the on-site review of all funded grants within their designated area of responsibility. Prior to visiting a project, Staff prepare for the on-site review. The on-site review is then conducted. This review includes verification that project activities are implemented and are within the defined area(s) as designated in the grantee's application. Staff also review the project area to support eligibility and compliance of the program objectives under which the project was funded.

A. Preparation for On-Site Review

The desk review involves the completion of a standardized monitoring desk review form, which requires the following:

- the name of the grant file being reviewed
- the name of the authorized official (Mayor/President of the Board of Supervisors/Authorized Official)
- the factor(s) which qualify the project for monitoring
- the date, time and place of the monitoring visit
- the beginning and ending date of the contract
- the number and types of modifications to the original contract
- the date of clearance for special conditions and environmental clearance
- a listing of correspondence reviewed which normally includes letters and memorandums from the grantee and its representatives to MHC and response from MHC to grantees, or file memorandums relative to project activities
- a listing of the number of requests for cash as of date of review and the amounts of each request
- a listing of any previous monitoring or audit findings
- an outline of current contract budget

MHC-HOME Monitoring Procedure

- a listing of compliance areas to be examined
- any other comments relative to the review

Information gathered from this review is used to check project performance, cost overruns and overrun in time schedules during the on-site review.

B. Monitoring Review

The on-site review involves a comprehensive examination of project activities to ensure compliance with applicable federal and state regulations. In addition, the monitoring review gives the grantee the opportunity to receive technical assistance in areas needed.

Each on-site review begins with an entry interview during which MHC program staff briefs the grantee's representative of the areas to be examined and the data required to complete the examination. At this time, the grantee's representative updates the reviewer on the status of project activities and the expected date of completion.

The applicable monitoring instruments are completed during the monitoring review depending on the areas of compliance to be examined.

- The Minority Business Enterprise/Fair Housing/Equal Opportunity Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state regulations relative to civil rights, fair housing and equal opportunity for federally assisted grants.
- The Environmental Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state regulations relative to environmental activities in a federally assisted grant.
- The Procurement Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state guidelines relative to the procurement of supplies, equipment, construction, and services for federally assisted grants.
- The Acquisition Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state guidelines relative to the acquisition of private property for use in federally assisted grants.
- The Labor Standards Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state regulations relative to labor standards requirements for federally assisted grants.
- The Relocation Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with

MHC-HOME Monitoring Procedure

federal and state regulations relative to relocation activities in a federally assisted grant.

- The Financial Management Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state regulations relative to grant management and record keeping requirements for federally assisted grants.
- The Citizen Participation Checklist is used to check for compliance with the State's Citizen Participation Plan.
- The Section 3 Checklist is used to check for compliance with the Section 3 requirements.

Once all applicable compliance areas have been examined, the reviewer may visit the project site(s) to determine activities have taken place as outlined in the contract document. Following the project site review, staff then conducts an exit interview to briefly discuss any preliminary comments and recommendations, identify technical assistance needs, and address any questions by the grantee. Staff should also inform the grantee that a written report outlining any comments, concerns and/or findings, as well as recommendations or actions to be taken will be forwarded to the grantee.

C. Monitoring Report

After conducting the on-site review, Staff then prepares a written report which should be completed within thirty (30) days from date of visit, unless otherwise indicated. The report should consist of a cover letter, which lists the date of review, areas examined, and the time period within which the grantee's response should be received.

A narrative report of comments, concerns, and/or findings with recommendations and actions to be taken for applicable compliance areas is attached to the cover letter. The report also incorporates verification of project activities, location, eligibility, and program objectives. If applicable, the grantee is required to respond to the report within a specified time period. Staff then, either issues a resolution to the monitoring report, or requests the necessary information to resolve findings. "Failure to respond to the monitoring comments and recommendations within thirty (30) days of issuance of the report will result in cash requests BEING HELD UNTIL such a response is received."

Mississippi Home Corporation National Housing Trust Fund Program (HTF) Monitoring Standards and Procedures

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230-

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The state monitoring system represents a formal process for determining whether a grantee's project implementation conforms to federal and state regulations. The objectives of the monitoring processing are: To assist the grantee in carrying out activities; as described in the grantee's application for funds; To assist the grantee in carrying out its project in a timely manner; To determine if the grantee is conducting the project with adequate control over program and financial performance, and in a manner which minimizes the opportunity for mismanagement, fraud or waste; To determine if the grantee is charging costs to the project which are eligible under applicable laws and regulations; To identify potential problem areas and to assist the grantee in complying with applicable laws and regulations; To assist grantees in resolving compliance problems through discussion, negotiation, or provision of technical assistance; To provide adequate follow up measures to ensure that performance and compliance deficiencies or problems are corrected by grantees; To consider the scope, nature and timing of activities funded with program income retained by local governments and subject to requirements and carefully factor those considerations into the monitoring schedule, including scheduling of on-site reviews; and To use program income report in planning and executing its monitoring strategy. Projects are selected for on-site reviews based on the following sources of information. This information is used to identify program status and accomplishments, problems and potential problems. Analysis of this data by state staff helps determine the need and the schedule for on-site reviews, as well as the compliance areas to be examined. Any combination of the factors may determine the need to schedule an on-site monitoring review.

Desk reviews are conducted at MHC, which involves reviewing reports and other documentation that are submitted from recipients. This allow staff to review documentation to determine how

HTF Monitoring Standards & Procedures

well a program or project is managed, and whether it is achieving its goals and compliance obligations. A minimum level of desk review for every project or program that receives funding is conducted and for all rental projects that are in their affordability period.

Onsite monitoring enables MHC to conduct a more in-depth level of review than the desk review. Onsite monitoring involves a visit to the funded entity's office to review documents and source information, observe actual program operations, and discuss programs and projects with the staff carrying them out. Onsite monitoring is recommended when the desk review suggests that there may be problems, or if a long period of time has elapsed since the last visit. During an onsite review, monitors evaluate overall performance and determine if compliance problems exist. Site visits often enable the monitor to identify aspects of the program or project that are contributing to a problem. Monitoring staff prepare and distribute a report summarizing the results of the review and describing any required follow-up activity. An onsite visit is required annually until project completion or contract close-out. Onsite visits are also required during the affordability period for rental on a periodic basis.

Each project must have been cleared in the areas of environmental, special conditions as applicable prior to receiving funds except for application preparation. The staff tracks each grantee's rate of expenditures. All projects will be monitored at least once during the life of the project. Program managers may decide if some projects will be monitored more than once. General communication with a recipient whether oral or written may indicate implementation problems or potential problems. In the event of implementation problems, lack of activity or a sudden change in activity, the program may qualify for an on-site review. Special circumstances such as implementation problems or major changes in project activities may require an on-site visit prior to full completion of the project.

Past Performance- significant monitoring or audit findings may qualify for an on-site review at any stage of project implementation. The staff, through coordination with the recipient, arranges the day and time of the visit. In accordance with the Monitoring Policy, the grantee is then notified in writing prior to the routine on site review. The notification includes the following: The date of the on-site review, with the time of the entrance interview and approximate time of the exit interview; Name(s) and number(s) of the person(s) conducting the review; Purpose of the review; A request that the representative and other appropriate staff be available during the review. The

state reserves the right to reschedule monitoring reviews at the mutual convenience of all parties involved.

Each staff member is responsible for the on-site review of all funded grants within their designated area of responsibility. Prior to visiting a project, the staff conducts a desk review of the grantee's contract file and other relative reports and correspondence. The on-site review is then conducted in accordance with the monitoring schedule. This review includes verification that project activities are implemented and are within the defined area(s) as designated in the grantee's application. The staff also reviews the project area to support eligibility and compliance of the program objectives under which the project was funded.

The desk review involves the completion of a standardized monitoring desk review form, which requires the following: the name of the file being reviewed; the name of the authorized official ;the factor(s) which qualify the project for monitoring; the date, time and place of the monitoring visit for which the desk review is being completed; the beginning and ending date of the contract; the number and types of modifications to the original contract; the date of clearance for special conditions and environmental clearance; a listing of correspondence reviewed which normally includes letters and memorandums; file memorandums relative to project activities; a listing of the number of requests for cash as of date of review and the amounts of each request; a listing of any previous monitoring or audit findings; an outline of current contract budget; a listing of compliance areas to be examined; any other comments relative to review. Information gathered from the desk review is used to check project performance, cost overruns and overrun in time schedules during the on-site review. The on-site review involves a comprehensive examination of project activities to ensure compliance with applicable federal and state regulations as well as applicable policy. In addition, the monitoring review gives the grantee the opportunity to receive technical assistance in areas needed. Each on site review begins with an entry interview during which the development specialist briefs the grantee's representative of the areas to be examined and the data required to complete the examination. Currently, the representative updates the reviewer on the status of project activities and the expected date of completion.

Compliance with Laws and Federal Program Requirements

Federal funds shall be utilized only as permitted or required under the HTF Program and not
HTF Monitoring Standards & Procedures

perform or fail to perform any act the performance or non-performance of which would result in noncompliance with the applicable laws and requirements.

The applicable monitoring instruments are completed during the monitoring review depending on the areas of compliance to be examined.

Projects shall be developed in accordance with housing quality standards, property standards, and applicable state and local building codes, rehabilitation standards, ordinances and zoning ordinances.

For the Period of affordability monitoring and inspection of the projects, books and records will be conducted to ensure compliance in meeting the affordability and income requirements. Rental projects are inspected regularly to ensure that they continue to meet or exceed the property standards requirement.

MHC ensures compliance with the requirements of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (Fair Housing Act); Executive Orders 11625, 12432 and 12138, which require affirmative actions to encourage participation by minority-and women-owned business enterprises. These provisions are included in every contract/written agreement. During monitoring visits, support documentation will be reviewed for compliance of regulations and requirements.

Rent Controls- HTF assisted rental units are subject to rent controls: Rent Limits, Affordability, tenant eligibility. These controls are reviewed during desk monitoring and/or at on site monitoring visits. The rents for HTF-assisted units cannot exceed HUD-prescribed maximum rents. HTF rents represent the maximum that tenants can pay for rent and utilities combined.

Recordkeeping- Rental programs funds are provided in the form of a loan. Project files are examined for post-closing documents and recorded HTF Covenants. For rental housing activities, the accompanying deed restriction/covenant is reviewed prior to monitoring. A deed restriction/covenant is the document that is recorded on the property that may specify long-term requirements that are not included in the HTF written agreement.

The Minority Business Enterprise/Fair Housing/Equal Opportunity Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state regulations relative to civil rights, fair housing and equal opportunity for federally assisted grants.

The Environmental Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state regulations relative to environmental activities in a federally assisted grant.

HTF Monitoring Standards & Procedures

The Procurement Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state guidelines relative to the procurement of supplies, equipment, construction, and services for federally assisted grants.

The Acquisition Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state guidelines relative to the acquisition of private property for use in federally assisted grants.

The Labor Standards Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state regulations relative to labor standards requirements for federally assisted grants.

The Relocation Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state regulations relative to relocation activities in a federally assisted grant.

The Financial Management Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state regulations relative to grant management and record keeping requirements for federally assisted grants.

Program Income Monitoring Checklist is used to check the number of jobs created and/or retained, and the amount of program income generated by federally assisted Economic Development grants.

The National Objective Monitoring Checklist is used to check for compliance with federal and state regulations relative to the required objective of the federally assisted grant.

The Citizen Participation Checklist is used to check for compliance with the State's Citizen Participation Plan.

The Section 3 Checklist is used to check for compliance with the Section 3 requirements.

Once all applicable compliance areas have been examined, the reviewer visits the project site to determine that the activities have taken place as outlined in the contract document. Following the project site review, the staff then conducts an exit interview to briefly discuss any preliminary comments and recommendations, identify technical assistance needs, and address any questions by the grantee. The reviewer informs the recipient that a written report outlining any comments, concerns and/or findings, as well as recommendations or actions to be taken will be forwarded to

the grantee.

After conducting the on-site review, the staff then prepares a written report which should be completed within thirty (30) days from date of visit and ready for submission to compliance staff, unless otherwise designated. The report should consist of a cover letter, signed by the program manager, which lists the date of review, areas examined, and the time period within which the grantee's response should be received.

A narrative report of comments, concerns, and/or findings with recommendations and actions to be taken for applicable compliance areas is attached to the cover letter. The report also incorporates verification of project activities, location, eligibility, and program objectives. If applicable, the grantee is required to respond to the report within a specified time period. Staff then, either issues a resolution to the monitoring report, or requests the necessary information to resolve findings. Failure to respond to the monitoring comments and recommendations within thirty (30) days of issuance of the report will result in cash requests being held until such a response is received.

Onsite Inspections

On-site Physical Inspections July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020			
#Units	Grant Recipient	Grant Number	Apartment Name
14	Panola County BOS Allstar management	1215-M03-SG-280-209	Stewart Manor III
16	Lucky Few Druetto properties	1210-M98-CH-280-10026	Stewart Manor II
10	Lucky Few Allstar	1218-M06-SG-280-10026	Stewart Manor V
24	MACE	1216-M04-SG-280-11044	Mace Gardens
14	Marshall County	1212-M00-CH-280-10035	Heritage Park
	City of Greenville	1260-M98-SG-282-001210	David L Jordan Apt
20	North Panola	M02CH28011037	Cross Creek Apt
16	Tunica County CDC	1226-M14-CH-280-100130E/ 1224-M12-CH-280-10013	Oscar Price Jr Estates
12	Bolivar County Comm Action Agency	1213-M01-CH-280-10023	North Shelby Apt III
20	Mississippi Association of Cooperatives	1224-M12-CH-280-10051	Tchula Townhome Apt
8	Greater Greenville Housing and Revitalization	M08-CH-280-10021-13	Les Lane
14	Town of Ruleville	1258-M96-SG-280-947	Rosedale Apt
12	Delta Housing	1208-M96-CH-280-10020	Estes Street Apt
40	Delta Housing	1212-M00-CH-280-10020	Scattergood Villas Apt
22	Valley Community Housing	1214-M02-CH-280-11039	Valley Apt Homes
10	New Hope Missionary Baptist Church	M08-SG-280-10049	Washington Apt Home
12	Delta Housing	1208-M96-CH-280-10020	Estes Street Apartments
4	West Millsaps	1223-M11-SG-280-11010-027	West Millsaps
8	Yazoo County Housing Authority	1223-M11-SG-280-11010-17	Magnolia Crossing
	Developments not physically inspected during July 1, 2019 - June 30, 2020 due to Covid19		Desk Monitoring conducted
14	Town of Arcola	1259-M97-SG-280-103	Arcola Apt
14	City of Greenville	1261-M99-SG-280-10977	Chatham Villas I
14	Greater Greenville Housing and Revitalization	1211-M99-CH-280-10021	Chatham Villas II
14	Washington County BOS	1259-M97-SG-280-944	Glen Allan Apt
12	Rosedale	1210-M98-CH-280-10040/ 1213-M01-CH-280-10040	Rome Apt
12	Greater Greenville Housing and Revitalization	1214-M02-SG-280-10021	Port City Villas
7	Quitman Partners	1223-M11-SG-280-11010-021	Quail Run Apt
12	City of Pontotoc	M98-SG-280-10959	Grove Apt

	Inspection Summary	During the period July 1, 2019- June 30, 2020 the common deficiencies found were replace batteries/repair smoke detector. Secure toilet/ Replace fire extinguisher. Properties not inspected during the program year will be inspected in a timely manner to maintain compliance and reduce any risk associated with the project.

Sage Report

HUD ESG CAPER FY2020

Grant: **ESG: Mississippi Nonentitlement - MS - Report** Type: CAPER

Report Date Range

7/1/2019 to 6/30/2020

Q01a. Contact Information

First name Faye
 Middle name
 Last name McCall
 Suffix
 Title Asst. VP of Grant Management
 Street Address 1 735 Riverside Drive
 Street Address 2
 City Jackson
 State Mississippi
 ZIP Code 39202
 E-mail Address faye.mccall@mshtc.com
 Phone Number (601)718-4668
 Extension
 Fax Number

Q01b. Grant Information

As of 8/21/2020

Fiscal Year	Grant Number	Current Authorized Amount	Total Drawn	Balance	Obligation Date	Expenditure Deadline
2020						
2019	E190C280001	\$2,280,468.00	\$1,767,142.31	\$513,325.69	8/13/2019	8/13/2021
2018	E180C280001	\$2,214,775.00	\$2,214,775.00	\$0	8/22/2018	8/22/2020
2017	E170C280001	\$2,404,441.00	\$2,404,441.00	\$0	9/22/2017	9/22/2019
2016	E160C280001	\$2,233,204.00	\$2,233,204.00	\$0	8/22/2016	8/22/2018
2015	E150C280001	\$2,243,188.72	\$2,243,188.72	\$0	7/22/2015	7/22/2017
2014	E140C280001	\$2,131,038.00	\$2,131,038.00	\$0	7/25/2014	7/25/2016
2013	E130C280001	\$1,872,259.00	\$1,872,259.00	\$0	7/15/2013	7/15/2015
2012						
2011						
Total		\$15,379,373.72	\$14,866,048.03	\$513,325.69		

ESG Information from IDIS

CAPER reporting includes funds used from fiscal year:

2019

Project types carried out during the program year

Enter the number of each type of projects funded through ESG during this program year:

Street Outreach	5
Emergency Shelter	9
Transitional Housing (grandfathered under ES)	0
Day Shelter (funded under ES)	0
Rapid Re-Housing	17
Homelessness Prevention	14

Q01c. Additional Information

HMIS

Comparable Database

Are 100% of the project(s) funded through ESG, which are allowed to use HMIS, entering data into HMIS?	Yes
Have all of the projects entered data into Sage via a CSV - CAPER Report upload?	Yes
Are 100% of the project(s) funded through ESG, which are allowed to use a comparable database, entering data into the comparable database?	Yes
Have all of the projects entered data into Sage via a CSV - CAPER Report upload?	Yes

Q04: Project Identifiers in HMIS

Organization Name	Organization ID	Project Name	Project ID	HMIS Project Type	Method for Tracking ES	Affiliated with a residential project	Project IDs of affiliations	CoC Number	Geocode	Victim Service Provider	HMIS Software Name	Report Start Date	Report End Date	CSV Exception?	Upload via email? hyper
Recovery House	02C82F3ED424956730561D97F6D7FB8	Community Counseling ESG - Emergency Shelter	70004125	1	0			MS-501	289087	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Recovery House	02C82F3ED424956730561D97F6D7FB8	Community Counseling ESG - RRH	20061125	13				MS-501	289087	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Community Care Network	EE3D80DC1D97702510CEF6AE51B93CAB	Community Care Network ESG - Outreach	20083125	4				MS-503	289059	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Community Care Network	EE3D80DC1D97702510CEF6AE51B93CAB	Community Care Network ESG - Homeless Prevention	20079125	12				MS-503	289059	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Community Care Network	EE3D80DC1D97702510CEF6AE51B93CAB	Community Care Network ESG - Rapid Rehousing	20080125	13				MS-503	289059	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Community Care Network	EE3D80DC1D97702510CEF6AE51B93CAB	Community Care Network - Sue's Home Shelter	40049125	1	0			MS-503	289059	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Catholic Charities - Natchez	2229CFFB1C64AD8EE08C99804DE1CCFB	Catholic Charities ESG- HP	20049125	12				MS-501	289001	1	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Catholic Charities - Natchez	2229CFFB1C64AD8EE08C99804DE1CCFB	Catholic Charities ESG- RRH	20077325	13				MS-503, MS-500, MS-501	280132, 289049, 289001	1	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Care Lodge Domestic Violence Shelter	28380	Shelter	1436	1	0			MS-501	289075	1		2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Care Lodge Domestic Violence Shelter	28380	CareLodge RRH	1436	13	0			MS-501	289075	1		2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Care Lodge Domestic Violence Shelter	28380	Homelessness Prevention	1436	12	0			MS-501	289075	1		2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Open Doors Homeless Coalition	opendoors	AA Open Doors Homeless Coalition Outreach	10008125	4				MS-503	280612	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Open Doors	459D2635EB6F9953DCA2879E9798591E	Open Doors Homeless Coalition ESG - Homeless Prevention	20098425	12				MS-503	280612	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Open Doors	459D2635EB6F9953DCA2879E9798591E	Open Doors Homeless Coalition ESG - Emergency	20101425	6	0			MS-503	280612	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
St. Gabriel Mercy Center Inc.	9FD3A9228CE43C682B1B810F25537429	St. Gabriel ESG HP	70031224	12				MS-501	289011	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Mississippi Balance of State	mahmis	MUTEH ESG - Street Outreach	70031146	4				MS-501	280630	1	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
MS United to End Homelessness	8EAF8738754DEB46C1570052D8FF0B2	MUTEH ESG - HP	20052125	12				MS-501	289035	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
MS United to End Homelessness	8EAF8738754DEB46C1570052D8FF0B2	MUTEH ESG - RRH	20050125	13				MS-501	280630	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Center for Violence Prevention	421836	Shelter	1280	1	0			000000	289121	1		2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
MS United to End Homelessness	8EAF8738754DEB46C1570052D8FF0B2	MUTEH ESG Hotel/Motel	70031240	6		0		MS-501	289049	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Hancock Resource	616C6598E9757B001FD64250F4A46B0C	Hancock Resource Center ESG - Homeless Prevention	20072125	12				MS-503	289045	1	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Hancock Resource	616C6598E9757B001FD64250F4A46B0C	Hancock Resource Center ESG - Rapid Rehousing	20076125	13				MS-503	289045	1	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Open Doors	459D2635EB6F9953DCA2879E9798591E	Open Doors Homeless Coalition ESG - Rapid Rehousing	20099425	13				MS-503	280132	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Hancock Resource	616C6598E9757B001FD64250F4A46B0C	Hancock Resource Center ESG - Emergency	20077125	6		0		MS-503	289045	1	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Center for Violence Prevention	421836	Rapid Rehousing	1282	13	0			000000	289121	1		2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
SAFE Inc.	F47ADE14452222F3B04A545BA73573C3	SAFE Inc. - RRH	20057125	13				MS-501	289081	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
SAFE Inc.	F47ADE14452222F3B04A545BA73573C3	SAFE Inc. - HP	20059125	12				MS-501	289081	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes

Organization Name	Organization ID	Project Name	Project ID	HMS Project Type	Method for Tracking ES	Affiliated with a residential project	Project ID of affiliations	CoC Number	Geocode	Victim Service Provider	HMS Software Name	Report Start Date	Report End Date	OSV Exception?	Upload via email hyperlink
Voice of Calvary Ministries	B0399FE6A862C6BB32D8F46218EAAEB4	VOCM MHC-RRH	90001194	13				MS-500	289049	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Voice of Calvary Ministries	B0399FE6A862C6BB32D8F46218EAAEB4	VOCM MHC-HP	90001193	12				MS-500	289049	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Stewpot	889F3C4A6F494F6D82FD0696564F401B	Stewpot MHC-ESG	90001237	13				MS-500	289049	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Back Bay Mission	E03C868D9F531CDF4053A8746E6045DF	Back Bay Mission ESG - Homeless Prevention	20119425	12				MS-503	280132	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Back Bay Mission	E03C868D9F531CDF4053A8746E6045DF	Back Bay Mission ESG - Rapid Rehousing	20120425	13				MS-503	280132	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
St. Gabriel Mercy Center Inc.	9FD3A9228CE43C682B1B010F25537429	St. Gabriel ESG RRH	70031223	13				MS-501	289011	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
WWISCAA	1D50966B13400ED81ADC59E7E1E12773	WWISCAA ESG - ES	70017125	1	0			MS-501	289151	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
WWISCAA	1D50966B13400ED81ADC59E7E1E12773	WWISCAA ESG - HP	20056125	12				MS-501	289151	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
WWISCAA	1D50966B13400ED81ADC59E7E1E12773	WWISCAA ESG - RRH	20055125	13				MS-501	289151	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Multi County CAA	A9378C49542583458025316EB32B9462	MCCSA - ESG Street Outreach	70031148	4				MS-501	289075	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Multi County CAA	A9378C49542583458025316EB32B9462	MCCSA HP	20067325	12				MS-501	289075	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Multi County CAA	A9378C49542583458025316EB32B9462	MCCSA ESG RRH	20090325	13				MS-501	289075	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Multi County CAA	A9378C49542583458025316EB32B9462	Frances W Davidson Memorial Shelter	70010125	1	0			MS-501	289075	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
SAFE, Inc.	56736	SAFE, Inc.	56738	1	0			MS-501	289081	1	Apricot AVS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Gulf Coast Center for Nonviolence	508545	Rapid Rehousing Biloxi	299501	1	0			MS-503	0	1		2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Gulf Coast Center for Nonviolence	508545	Rapid Rehousing Biloxi	2010	12	0			MS-503	0	1		2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Gulf Coast Center for Nonviolence	508545	Rapid Rehousing Biloxi	1977	13	0			MS-503	0	1		2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Salvation Army - Gulfport	B10FDD07222F1E9888444213D6A16C8	Salvation Army Gulfport ESG - Rapid Rehousing	20093125	13				MS-503	280612	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Salvation Army - Gulfport	B10FDD07222F1E9888444213D6A16C8	Salvation Army Gulfport ESG - Homeless Prevention	20092125	12				MS-503	280612	0	AWARDS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes
Catholic Charities, Inc. Division of Victim Services	1825	Guardian Shelter	1841	1	0			MS-501	289001	1	Apricot AVS	2019-07-01	2020-06-30	No	Yes

Q05a: Report Validations Table

Total Number of Persons Served	2666
Number of Adults (Age 18 or Over)	1752
Number of Children (Under Age 18)	896
Number of Persons with Unknown Age	17
Number of Leavers	1752
Number of Adult Leavers	1128
Number of Adult and Head of Household Leavers	1143
Number of Stayers	914
Number of Adult Stayers	626
Number of Veterans	69
Number of Chronically Homeless Persons	221
Number of Youth Under Age 25	94
Number of Parenting Youth Under Age 25 with Children	12
Number of Adult Heads of Household	1538
Number of Child and Unknown-Age Heads of Household	20
Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 Days or More	107

Q06a: Data Quality: Personally Identifying Information (PII)

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know/Refused	Information Missing	Data Issues	Total	% of Error Rate
Name	2	1	4	958	0.26 %
Social Security Number	431	199	10	984	24.01 %
Date of Birth	7	9	9	969	0.94 %
Race	3	0		956	0.11 %
Ethnicity	18	6		964	0.98 %
Gender	0	1		956	0.04 %
Overall Score				46	1.73 %

Q06: Data Quality: Universal Data Elements

	Error Count	% of Error Rate
Veteran Status	136	7.76 %
Project Start Date	2	0.08 %
Relationship to Head of Household	120	4.50 %
Client Location	214	13.74 %
Disabling Condition	76	2.85 %

Q06: Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality

	Error Count	% of Error Rate
Destination	198	11.30 %
Income and Sources at Start	25	1.60 %
Income and Sources at Annual Assessment	85	79.44 %
Income and Sources at Exit	109	9.54 %

Q06: Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness

	Count of Total Records	Missing Time in Institution	Missing Time in Housing	Approximate Date Started DK/R/missing	Number of Times DK/R/missing	Number of Months DK/R/missing	% of Records Unable to Calculate
ES, SH, Street Outreach	1008	0	0	24	20	261	26.29 %
TH	0	0	0	0	0	0	--
PH (All)	501	0	1	0	2	5	6.99 %
Total	1509	--	--	--	--	--	19.88 %

Q06: Data Quality: Timeliness

	Number of Project Start Records	Number of Project Exit Records
0 days	979	550
1-3 Days	537	375
4-6 Days	232	200
7-10 Days	148	78
11+ Days	782	514

Q06: Data Quality: Inactive Records: Street Outreach & Emergency Shelter

	# of Records	# of Inactive Records	% of Inactive Records
Contact (Adults and Heads of Household in Street Outreach or ES - NBN)	22	10	45.45 %
Bed Night (All Clients in ES - NBN)	0	0	--

Q07: Number of Persons Served

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Adults	2100	1608	486	--	1
Children	899	--	864	17	0
Client Doesn't Know/ Client Refused	8	1	2	0	5
Data Not Collected	106	0	0	0	106
Total	3123	1628	1361	21	112
For PSH & RRH - the total persons served who moved into housing	433	212	221	0	0

Q08: Households Served

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Total Households	1981	1421	444	5	111
For PSH & RRH - the total households served who moved into housing	268	188	80	0	0

Q08: Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
January	587	387	136	0	64
April	609	405	118	1	85
July	262	183	79	0	0
October	434	298	100	0	36

Q09: Number of Persons Contacted

	All Persons Contacted	First contact - NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH	First contact - WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH	First contact - Worker unable to determine
Once	605	73	483	49
2-5 Times	32	1	30	1
6-9 Times	2	1	1	0
10+ Times	0	0	0	0
Total Persons Contacted	639	75	514	50

Q09: Number of Persons Engaged

	All Persons Contacted	First contact - NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH	First contact - WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH	First contact - Worker unable to determine
Once	203	14	189	0
2-5 Contacts	1	1	0	0
6-9 Contacts	0	0	0	0
10+ Contacts	0	0	0	0
Total Persons Engaged	204	15	189	0
Rate of Engagement	1.52	1.29	1.66	0.00

Q10a: Gender of Adults

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type
Male	724	672	52	0
Female	1370	926	439	1
Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female)	1	1	0	0
Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male)	0	0	0	0
Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female)	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	7	7	0	0
Subtotal	2101	1606	490	1

Q10b: Gender of Children

	Total	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Male	436	422	12	0
Female	462	443	17	0
Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female)	0	0	0	0
Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male)	0	0	0	0
Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female)	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	898	865	29	0

Q10c: Gender of Persons Missing Age Information

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Male	19	0	8	0	11
Female	15	4	2	0	11
Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female)	0	0	0	0	0
Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male)	0	0	0	0	0
Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female)	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	89	0	0	0	89
Subtotal	123	4	10	0	111

Q10d: Gender by Age Ranges

	Total	Under Age 18	Age 18-24	Age 25-61	Age 62 and over	Client Doesn't Know/ Client Refused	Data Not Collected
Male	1180	436	53	588	83	6	7
Female	1846	463	164	1169	35	2	10
Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	96	0	2	3	2	0	89
Subtotal	3123	899	219	1761	120	8	106

Q11: Age

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Under 5	344	0	332	7	0
5 - 12	411	0	403	7	0
13 - 17	144	0	141	3	0
18 - 24	219	149	70	0	0
25 - 34	549	321	227	0	0
35 - 44	546	389	154	0	0
45 - 54	442	407	34	0	1
55 - 61	225	220	5	0	0
62+	120	119	1	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	17	10	2	0	5
Data Not Collected	106	0	0	0	106
Total	3123	1615	1369	17	112

Q12a: Race

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
White	1322	884	420	1	16
Black or African American	1612	698	883	20	11
Asian	10	5	5	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	22	11	11	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	9	4	5	0	0
Multiple Races	55	13	40	0	2
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	5	2	1	0	2
Data Not Collected	88	7	0	0	81
Total	3123	1624	1365	21	112

Q12b: Ethnicity

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	2914	1571	1309	21	13
Hispanic/Latino	70	25	45	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	20	8	10	0	2
Data Not Collected	119	22	0	0	97
Total	3123	1626	1364	21	113

Q13a1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Start

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children & Adults	Children in HH with Children & Adults	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Problem	654	558	64	27	-	2	2
Alcohol Abuse	94	82	8	1	-	1	2
Drug Abuse	229	208	18	2	-	1	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse	166	149	16	0	-	1	0
Chronic Health Condition	398	349	36	10	-	1	2
HIV/AIDS	9	8	1	0	-	0	0
Developmental Disability	149	107	5	36	-	1	0
Physical Disability	410	368	26	11	-	0	4

↳ The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

Q13b1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Exit

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children & Adults	Children in HH with Children & Adults	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Problem	377	305	46	21	-	2	2
Alcohol Abuse	50	42	7	1	-	0	0
Drug Abuse	143	126	16	0	-	1	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse	83	69	11	0	-	2	1
Chronic Health Condition	189	164	20	4	-	0	1
HIV/AIDS	5	4	1	0	-	0	0
Developmental Disability	75	49	4	22	-	1	0
Physical Disability	190	165	17	7	-	0	1

↳ The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

Q13c1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions for Stayers

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children & Adults	Children in HH with Children & Adults	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Mental Health Problem	240	211	19	8	-	0	2
Alcohol Abuse	36	34	1	1	-	0	0
Drug Abuse	74	73	1	0	-	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse	153	90	22	41	-	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	181	162	14	5	-	0	0
HIV/AIDS	6	6	0	0	-	0	0
Developmental Disability	68	53	1	14	-	0	0
Physical Disability	206	181	15	7	-	0	3

↳ The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

Q14a: Domestic Violence History

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Yes	749	472	269	5	3
No	1284	1033	231	1	19
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	6	4	1	0	1
Data Not Collected	187	96	3	0	88
Total	2226	1605	504	6	111

Q14b: Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Yes	590	339	244	4	2
No	153	133	19	1	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	5	2	2	0	1
Total	748	474	265	5	3

Q15: Living Situation

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Homeless Situations	0	0	0	0	0
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	403	226	175	2	0
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	14	9	5	0	0
Place not meant for habitation	819	741	59	0	19
Safe Haven	10	5	5	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0
Interim Housing [Ⓒ]	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	1246	981	244	2	19
Institutional Settings	0	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	6	6	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	38	31	7	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	9	7	1	1	0
Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	25	23	0	0	2
Foster care home or foster care group home	1	1	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	1	1	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	3	3	0	0	0
Subtotal	83	72	8	1	2
Other Locations	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons	2	2	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	9	9	0	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	2	1	1	0	0
Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy	1	0	1	0	0
Rental by client, with HCV voucher (tenant or project based)	1	0	0	0	1
Rental by client in a public housing unit	8	3	4	0	1
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	258	136	121	1	0
Rental by client, with VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client with GPD TIP subsidy	4	4	0	0	0
Rental by client, with other housing subsidy	14	11	2	0	1
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	34	27	6	0	1
Staying or living in a friend's room, apartment or house	68	58	10	0	0
Staying or living in a family member's room, apartment or house	74	61	11	0	2
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	6	2	3	0	1
Data Not Collected	418	240	92	2	83
Subtotal	899	505	251	3	90
Total	2226	1605	496	6	111

[Ⓒ] Interim housing is retired as of 10/1/2019.

Q16: Cash Income - Ranges

	Income at Start	Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Income at Exit for Leavers
No income	1182	10	536
\$1 - \$150	14	0	9
\$151 - \$250	27	0	15
\$251 - \$500	68	3	42
\$501 - \$1000	395	4	215
\$1,001 - \$1,500	171	1	108
\$1,501 - \$2,000	70	0	62
\$2,001+	49	0	40
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	17	0	24
Data Not Collected	64	0	113
Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment	--	728	--
Number of Adult Stayers Without Required Annual Assessment	--	90	--
Total Adults	2093	833	1249

Q17: Cash Income - Sources

	Income at Start	Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Income at Exit for Leavers
Earned Income	319	11	245
Unemployment Insurance	18	0	11
SSI	223	7	105
SSDI	176	14	92
VA Service-Connected Disability Compensation	31	0	25
VA Non-Service Connected Disability Pension	8	1	6
Private Disability Insurance	0	0	0
Worker's Compensation	3	0	1
TANF or Equivalent	10	3	10
General Assistance	0	0	0
Retirement (Social Security)	25	0	13
Pension from Former Job	3	0	2
Child Support	55	4	29
Alimony (Spousal Support)	3	0	3
Other Source	33	4	21
Adults with Income Information at Start and Annual Assessment/Exit	--	53	1133

Q19b: Disabling Conditions and Income for Adults at Exit

	AO: Adult with Disabling Condition	AO: Adult without Disabling Condition	AO: Total Adults	AO: % with Disabling Condition by Source	AC: Adult with Disabling Condition	AC: Adult without Disabling Condition	AC: Total Adults	AC: % with Disabling Condition by Source	UK: Adult with Disabling Condition	UK: Adult without Disabling Condition	UK: Total Adults	UK: % with Disabling Condition by Source
Earned Income	47	114	151	31.13 %	22	98	113	19.47 %	0	0	0	-
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	81	25	100	81.00 %	11	14	22	50.00 %	0	0	0	-
Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI)	76	11	80	95.00 %	11	6	14	78.57 %	0	0	0	-
VA Service-Connected Disability Compensation	25	1	25	100.00 %	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-
Private Disability Insurance	1	0	1	100.00 %	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-
Worker's Compensation	0	0	0	-	1	0	1	100.00 %	0	0	0	-
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	2	5	5	40.00 %	4	5	8	50.00 %	0	0	0	-
Retirement Income from Social Security	11	3	14	78.57 %	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-
Pension or retirement income from a former job	2	1	2	100.00 %	1	1	1	100.00 %	0	0	0	-
Child Support	6	6	11	54.55 %	6	23	27	22.22 %	0	0	0	-
Other source	21	18	35	60.00 %	3	13	16	18.75 %	0	0	0	-
No Sources	279	250	467	59.74 %	54	161	187	28.88 %	1	0	1	100.00 %
Unduplicated Total Adults	516	425	847		108	315	378		1	0	1	

Q20a: Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources

	Benefit at Start	Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	Benefit at Exit for Leavers
Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	791	16	475
WIC	39	0	26
TANF Child Care Services	9	0	5
TANF Transportation Services	2	0	0
Other TANF-Funded Services	2	0	1
Other Source	8	0	4

Q21: Health Insurance

	At Start	At Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
Medicaid	1067	9	644
Medicare	157	2	69
State Children's Health Insurance Program	50	0	35
VA Medical Services	74	0	54
Employer Provided Health Insurance	54	0	44
Health Insurance Through COBRA	2	0	0
Private Pay Health Insurance	25	0	12
State Health Insurance for Adults	16	0	12
Indian Health Services Program	0	0	1
Other	21	0	5
No Health Insurance	1323	12	697
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	104	0	118
Data Not Collected	185	145	129
Number of Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment	-	593	-
1 Source of Health Insurance	1192	9	712
More than 1 Source of Health Insurance	132	1	81

Q22a2: Length of Participation - ESG Projects

	Total	Leavers	Stayers
0 to 7 days	550	523	27
8 to 14 days	191	161	30
15 to 21 days	207	150	57
22 to 30 days	199	151	48
31 to 60 days	370	283	87
61 to 90 days	291	202	89
91 to 180 days	532	253	279
181 to 365 days	569	144	425
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	191	43	148
731 to 1,095 days (2-3 Yrs)	17	0	17
1,096 to 1,460 days (3-4 Yrs)	6	0	6
1,461 to 1,825 days (4-5 Yrs)	0	0	0
More than 1,825 days (> 5 Yrs)	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0
Total	3123	1910	1213

Q22a: Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	299	132	167	0	0
8 to 14 days	32	17	15	0	0
15 to 21 days	23	14	9	0	0
22 to 30 days	45	19	26	0	0
31 to 60 days	48	26	22	0	0
61 to 180 days	18	12	6	0	0
181 to 365 days	5	0	5	0	0
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	3	0	3	0	0
Total (persons moved into housing)	433	212	235	0	0
Average length of time to housing	13.05	15.00	17.90	--	--
Persons who were exited without move-in	80	46	33	1	0
Total persons	539	266	286	1	0

Q22b: Length of Participation by Household Type

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	550	289	259	0	1
8 to 14 days	191	104	81	2	4
15 to 21 days	207	96	102	6	3
22 to 30 days	199	119	75	0	5
31 to 60 days	370	187	177	1	4
61 to 90 days	291	155	117	2	17
91 to 180 days	532	283	210	5	34
181 to 365 days	569	297	227	2	43
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	191	88	102	1	0
731 to 1,095 days (2-3 Yrs)	17	9	8	0	0
1,096 to 1,460 days (3-4 Yrs)	6	1	3	2	0
1,461 to 1,825 days (4-5 Yrs)	0	0	0	0	0
More than 1,825 days (> 5 Yrs)	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	3123	1628	1361	21	111

Q22c: Length of Time Prior to Housing - based on 3.917 Date Homelessness Started

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	632	184	374	8	41
8 to 14 days	50	10	12	0	0
15 to 21 days	21	3	13	0	0
22 to 30 days	74	8	10	0	0
31 to 60 days	53	32	21	0	0
61 to 180 days	86	47	39	0	0
181 to 365 days	50	31	19	0	0
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	31	24	7	0	0
731 days or more	53	45	8	0	0
Total (persons moved into housing)	936	384	503	8	41
Not yet moved into housing	216	150	66	0	0
Data not collected	465	257	204	4	0
Total persons	1966	916	997	12	41

Q23: Exit Destination – All persons

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Permanent Destinations	0	0	0	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	22	8	14	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	14	4	10	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	452	178	269	4	1
Rental by client, with VASH housing subsidy	3	2	1	0	0
Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	2	2	0	0	0
Rental by client, with other ongoing housing subsidy	92	56	35	0	1
Permanent housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons	10	10	0	0	0
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	157	81	70	1	5
Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	43	28	15	0	0
Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy	171	139	29	0	3
Rental by client, with HCV voucher (tenant or project based)	5	2	3	0	0
Rental by client in a public housing unit	34	16	18	0	0
Subtotal	1005	526	464	5	10
Temporary Destinations	0	0	0	0	0
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher	30	21	9	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	47	29	17	0	1
Staying or living with family, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house)	111	75	34	2	0
Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house)	91	50	41	0	0
Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside)	19	19	0	0	0
Safe Haven	10	10	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	17	9	7	1	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	1	1	0	0	0
Subtotal	325	213	108	3	1
Institutional Settings	0	0	0	0	0
Foster care home or group foster care home	3	1	2	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	3	3	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	10	9	1	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	2	2	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	8	8	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	3	2	1	0	0
Subtotal	27	25	2	0	0
Other Destinations	0	0	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	7	7	0	0	0
Deceased	3	3	0	0	0
Other	124	64	57	0	2
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	191	19	171	1	0
Data Not Collected (no exit interview completed)	351	112	284	1	5
Subtotal	519	210	348	1	7
Total	1910	941	715	8	18
Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations	1187	642	526	8	11
Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation	198	17	181	1	0
Percentage	69.33 %	69.48 %	98.50 %	114.29 %	61.11 %

Q24: Homelessness Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start—Without a subsidy	168	36	128	4	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start—With the subsidy they had at project start	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start—With an on-going subsidy acquired since project start	3	0	3	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start—Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to new housing unit—With on-going subsidy	1	1	0	0	0
Moved to new housing unit—Without an on-going subsidy	5	5	0	0	0
Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	2	1	1	0	0
Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	9	1	8	0	0
Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	0	0	0	0	0
Client became homeless – moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation	1	1	0	0	0
Client went to jail/prison	2	2	0	0	0
Client died	0	0	0	0	0
Client doesn't know/Client refused	1	1	0	0	0
Data not collected (no exit interview completed)	1	1	0	0	0
Total	193	49	140	4	0

Q25: Number of Veterans

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type
Chronically Homeless Veteran	28	28	0	0
Non-Chronically Homeless Veteran	94	93	1	0
Not a Veteran	2202	1373	824	0
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	33	13	20	0
Data Not Collected	221	118	99	1
Total	2580	1625	944	1

Q26: Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Chronically Homeless	289	267	20	0	2
Not Chronically Homeless	2740	1253	1260	22	205
Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	8	2	6	0	0
Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
Total	3037	1522	1286	22	207

HOPWA CAPER Final



Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) Program

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Measuring Performance Outcomes

OMB Number 2506-0133 (Expiration Date:
01/31/2021)

Overview. The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) provides annual performance reporting on client outputs and outcomes that enables an assessment of grantee performance in achieving the housing stability outcome measure. The CAPER fulfills statutory and regulatory program reporting requirements and provides the grantee and HUD with the necessary information to assess the overall program performance and accomplishments against planned goals and objectives.

HOPWA formula grantees are required to submit a CAPER demonstrating coordination with other Consolidated Plan resources. HUD uses the CAPER data to obtain essential information on grant activities, project sponsors, housing sites, units and households, and beneficiaries (which includes racial and ethnic data on program participants). The Consolidated Plan Management Process tool (CPMP) provides an optional tool to integrate the reporting of HOPWA specific activities with other planning and reporting on Consolidated Plan activities.

Table of Contents

PART 1: Grantee Executive Summary

1. Grantee Information
2. Project Sponsor Information
5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment
 - a. Grantee and Community Overview
 - b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan
 - c. Barriers or Trends Overview

PART 2: Sources of Leveraging and Program Income

1. Sources of Leveraging
2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments

PART 3: Accomplishment Data: Planned Goals and Actual Outputs

PART 4: Summary of Performance Outcomes

1. Housing Stability: Permanent Housing and Related Facilities
2. Prevention of Homelessness: Short-Term Housing Payments
3. Access to Care and Support: Housing Subsidy Assistance with Supportive Services

PART 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes

PART 6: Annual Report of Continued Use for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (Only)

PART 7: Summary Overview of Grant Activities

- A. Information on Individuals, Beneficiaries and Households Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility Based Units, Master Leased Units ONLY)
- B. Facility-Based Housing Assistance

Continued Use Periods. Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation of a building or structure are required to operate the building or structure for HOPWA-eligible beneficiaries for a ten (10) years period. If no further HOPWA funds are used to support the facility, in place of completing Section 7B of the CAPER, the grantee must submit an Annual Report of Continued Project Operation throughout the required use periods. This report is included in Part 6 in CAPER. The required use period is three (3) years if the rehabilitation is non-substantial.

Record Keeping. Names and other individual information must be kept confidential, as required by 24 CFR 574.440. However, HUD reserves the

right to review the information used to complete this report for grants management oversight purposes, except for recording any names and other identifying information. **In the case that HUD must review client-level data, no client names or identifying information will be retained or recorded. Information is reported in aggregate to HUD without personal identification. Do not submit client or personal information in data systems to HUD.**

In connection with the development of the Department's standards for Homeless Management Information Systems (HMIS), universal data elements are being collected for clients of HOPWA-funded homeless assistance projects. These project sponsor records would include: Name, Social Security Number, Date of Birth, Ethnicity and Race, Gender, Veteran Status, Disabling Conditions, Residence Prior to Program Entry, Zip Code of Last Permanent Address, Housing Status, Program Entry Date, Program Exit Date, Personal Identification Number, and Household

Identification Number. These are intended to match the elements under HMIS. The HOPWA program-level data elements include: Income and Sources, Non-Cash Benefits, HIV/AIDS Status, Services Provided, Housing Status or Destination at the end of the operating year, Physical Disability, Developmental Disability, Chronic Health Condition, Mental Health, Substance Abuse, Domestic Violence, Medical Assistance, and T- cell Count. Other HOPWA projects sponsors may also benefit from collecting these data elements. HMIS local data systems must maintain client confidentiality by using a closed system in which medical information and HIV status are only shared with providers that have a direct involvement in the client’s case management, treatment and care, in line with the signed release of information from the client.

2b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies	
3a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year	
3b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year	
4.	Short-term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance	1
5.	Adjustment for duplication (subtract)	1
6.	TOTAL Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1-4 minus Row 5)	1

Operating Year. HOPWA formula grants are annually awarded for a three-year period of performance with three operating years. The information contained in this CAPER must represent a one-year period of HOPWA program operation that coincides with the grantee’s program year; this is the operating year. More than one HOPWA formula grant awarded to the same grantee may be used during an operating year and the CAPER must capture all formula grant funding used during the operating year. Project sponsor accomplishment information must also coincide with the operating year this CAPER covers. Any change to the period of performance requires the approval of HUD by amendment, such as an extension for an additional operating year.

Final Assembly of Report. After the entire report is assembled, number each page sequentially.

Filing Requirements. Within 90 days of the completion of each program year, grantees must submit their completed CAPER to the CPD Director in the grantee’s State or Local HUD Field Office, and to the HOPWA Program Office: at HOPWA@hud.gov. Electronic submission to HOPWA Program office is preferred; however, if electronic submission is not possible, hard copies can be mailed to: Office of HIV/AIDS Housing, Room 7248, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, D.C., 20410.

Definitions

Adjustment for Duplication: Enables the calculation of unduplicated output totals by accounting for the total number of households or units that received more than one type of HOPWA assistance in a given service category such as HOPWA Subsidy Assistance or Supportive Services. For example, if a client household received both TBRA and STRMU during the operating year, report that household in the category of HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance in Part 3, Chart 1, Column [1b] in the following manner:

HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance		[1] Outputs: Number of Households
1.	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	1
2a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units	

Administrative Costs: Costs for general management, oversight, coordination, evaluation, and reporting. By statute, grantee administrative costs are limited to 3% of total grant award, to be expended over the life of the grant. Project sponsor administrative costs are limited to 7% of the portion of the grant amount they receive.

Beneficiary(ies): All members of a household who received HOPWA assistance during the operating year including the one individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance as well as any other members of the household (with or without HIV) who benefitted from the assistance.

Chronically Homeless Person: An individual or family who : (i) is homeless and lives or resides individual or family who: (i) Is homeless and lives or resides in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter; (ii) has been homeless and living or residing in a place not meant for human habitation, a safe haven, or in an emergency shelter continuously for at least 1 year or on at least 4 separate occasions in the last 3 years; and (iii) has an adult head of household (or a minor head of household if no adult is present in the household) with a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability (as defined in section 102 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 15002)), post traumatic stress disorder, cognitive impairments resulting from a brain injury, or chronic physical illness or disability, including the co-occurrence of 2 or more of those conditions. Additionally, the statutory definition includes as chronically homeless a person who currently lives or resides in an institutional care facility, including a jail, substance abuse or mental health treatment facility, hospital or other similar facility, and has resided there for fewer than 90 days if such person met the other criteria for homeless prior to entering that facility. (See 42 U.S.C. 11360(2)) This does not include doubled-up or overcrowding situations.

Disabling Condition: Evidencing a diagnosable substance use disorder, serious mental illness, developmental disability, chronic physical illness, or disability, including the co-occurrence of two or more of these conditions. In addition, a disabling condition may limit an individual's ability to work or perform one or more activities of daily living. An HIV/AIDS diagnosis is considered a disabling condition.

Facility-Based Housing Assistance: All eligible HOPWA Housing expenditures for or associated with supporting facilities including community residences, SRO dwellings, short-term facilities, project-based rental units, master leased units, and other housing facilities approved by HUD.

Faith-Based Organization: Religious organizations of three types: (1) congregations; (2) national networks, which include national denominations, their social service arms (for example, Catholic Charities, Lutheran Social Services), and networks of related organizations (such as YMCA and YWCA); and (3) freestanding religious organizations, which are incorporated separately from congregations and national networks.

Grassroots Organization: An organization headquartered in the local community where it provides services; has a social services budget of

\$300,000 or less annually, and six or fewer full-time equivalent employees. Local affiliates of national organizations are not considered "grassroots."

HOPWA Eligible Individual: The one (1) low-income person with HIV/AIDS who qualifies a household for HOPWA assistance. This person may be considered "Head of Household." When the CAPER asks for information on eligible individuals, report on this individual person only. Where there is more than one person with HIV/AIDS in the household, the additional PWH/A(s), would be considered a beneficiary(s).

HOPWA Housing Information Services: Services dedicated to helping persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families to identify, locate, and acquire housing. This may also include fair housing counseling for eligible persons who may encounter discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, familial status, or handicap/disability.

HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Total: The unduplicated number of households receiving housing subsidies (TBRA, STRMU, Permanent

Housing Placement services and Master Leasing) and/or residing in units of facilities dedicated to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families and supported with HOPWA funds during the operating year.

Household: A single individual or a family composed of two or more persons for which household incomes are used to determine eligibility and for calculation of the resident rent payment. The term is used for collecting data on changes in income, changes in access to services, receipt of housing information services, and outcomes on achieving housing stability. Live-In Aides (see definition for Live-In Aide) and non-beneficiaries (e.g. a shared housing arrangement with a roommate) who resided in the unit are not reported on in the CAPER.

Housing Stability: The degree to which the HOPWA project assisted beneficiaries to remain in stable housing during the operating year. See *Part 5: Determining Housing Stability Outcomes* for definitions of stable and unstable housing situations.

In-kind Leveraged Resources: These are additional types of support provided to assist HOPWA beneficiaries such as volunteer services, materials, use of equipment and building space. The actual value of the support can be the contribution of professional services, based on customary rates for this specialized support, or actual costs contributed from other leveraged resources. In determining a rate for the contribution of volunteer time and services, use the criteria described in 2 CFR 200. The value of any donated material, equipment, building, or lease should be based on the fair market value at time of donation. Related documentation can be from recent bills of sales, advertised prices, appraisals, or other information for comparable property similarly situated.

Leveraged Funds: The amount of funds expended during the operating year from non-HOPWA federal, state, local, and private sources by grantees or sponsors in dedicating assistance to this client population.

Leveraged funds or other assistance are used directly in or in support of HOPWA program delivery.

Live-In Aide: A person who resides with the HOPWA Eligible Individual and who meets the following criteria: (1) is essential to the care and well-being of the person; (2) is not obligated for the support of the person; and

(3) would not be living in the unit except to provide the necessary supportive services. See *t24 CFR 5.403 and the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide for additional reference.*

Master Leasing: Applies to a nonprofit or public agency that leases units of housing (scattered-sites or entire buildings) from a landlord, and subleases the units to homeless or low-income tenants. By assuming the tenancy burden, the agency facilitates housing of clients who may not be able to maintain a lease on their own due to poor credit, evictions, or lack of sufficient income.

Operating Costs: Applies to facility-based housing only, for facilities that are currently open. Operating costs can include day-to-day housing function and operation costs like utilities, maintenance, equipment, insurance, security, furnishings, supplies and salary for staff costs directly related to the housing project but not staff costs for delivering services.

Outcome: The degree to which the HOPWA assisted household has been enabled to establish or maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, (per the regulations at 24 CFR 574.310(b)) and to reduce the risks of homelessness, and improve access to HIV treatment and other health care and support.

Output: The number of units of housing or households that receive HOPWA assistance during the operating year.

Permanent Housing Placement: A supportive housing service that helps establish the household in the housing unit, including but not limited to reasonable costs for security deposits not to exceed two months of rent costs.

Program Income: Gross income directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. See grant administration

requirements on program income at 2 CFR 200.307.

Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA): A rental subsidy program that is tied to specific facilities or units owned or controlled by a project sponsor. Assistance is tied directly to the properties and is not portable or transferable.

Project Sponsor Organizations: Per HOPWA regulations at 24 CFR 574.3, any nonprofit organization or governmental housing agency that receives funds under a contract with the grantee to provide eligible housing and other support services or administrative services as defined in 24 CFR

574.300. Project Sponsor organizations are required to provide performance data on households served and funds expended.

SAM: All organizations applying for a Federal award must have a valid registration active at sam.gov. SAM (System for Award Management) registration includes maintaining current information and providing a valid DUNS number.

Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility (STRMU) Assistance: A time-limited, housing subsidy assistance designed to prevent homelessness and increase housing stability. Grantees may provide assistance for up to 21 weeks in any 52-week period. The amount of assistance varies per client depending on funds available, tenant need and program guidelines.

Stewardship Units: Units developed with HOPWA, where HOPWA funds were used for acquisition, new construction and rehabilitation that no longer receive operating subsidies from HOPWA. Report information for the units is subject to the three-year use agreement if rehabilitation is non-substantial and to the ten-year use agreement if rehabilitation is substantial.

Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA): TBRA is a rental subsidy program similar to the Housing Choice Voucher program that grantees can provide to help low-income households access affordable housing. The TBRA voucher is not tied to a specific unit, so tenants may move to a different unit without losing their assistance, subject to individual program rules. The subsidy amount is determined in part based on household income and rental costs associated with the tenant's lease.

Transgender: Transgender is defined as a person who identifies with, or presents as, a gender that is different from his/her gender at birth.

Veteran: A veteran is someone who has served on active duty in the Armed Forces of the United States. This does not include inactive military reserves or the National Guard unless the person was called up to active duty.

As applicable, complete the charts below to provide more detailed information about the agencies and organizations responsible for the administration and implementation of the HOPWA program. Chart 1 requests general Grantee Information and Chart 2 is to be completed for each organization selected or designated as a project sponsor, as defined by 24 CFR 574.3.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A. Do not leave any section blank.

1. Grantee Information

HUD Grant Number MSH18F999		Operating Year for this report From (07/01/19) To (06/30/20)		
Grantee Name Mississippi Home Corporation				
Business Address		735 Riverside Drive		
City, County, State, Zip		Jackson	Hinds	MS 39202
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)		64-0644578		
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):		878651249	System for Award Management (SAM): Is the grantee's SAM status currently active? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, provide SAM Number: 354L3	
Congressional District of Grantee's Business Address		3rd		
*Congressional District of Primary Service Area(s)				
*City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)		Cities: Statewide		Counties: Statewide
Organization's Website Address www.mshomecorp.com		Is there a waiting list(s) for HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance Services in the Grantee Service Area? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section what services maintain a waiting list and how this list is administered.		

* Service delivery area information only needed for program activities being directly carried out by the grantee.

2. Project Sponsor Information

Please complete Chart 2 for each organization designated or selected to serve as a project sponsor, as defined by 24 CFR

574.3. Use this section to report on organizations involved in the direct delivery of services for client households.

Note: If any information does not apply to your organization, please enter N/A.

Project Sponsor Agency Name AIDS Services Coalition		Parent Company Name, if applicable		
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency		Kathryn M. Garner, Executive Director		
Email Address		asc@megagate.com		
Business Address		Post Office Box 169		
City, County, State, Zip,		Hattiesburg, Forrest, MS 39401		
Phone Number (with area code)		601-450-4286		
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)		14-1855167	Fax Number (with area code)	
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):		14-799-1512		
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address		4th		
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)		1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd , 4 th		

<p>City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)</p>	<p>Cities: Cities: Abbeville, Aberdeen, Ackerman, Agricola, Alcorn State, Algoma, Alligator, Amory, Anguilla, Arcola, Arkabutla, Artesia, Ashland, Askew, Avalon, Avon, Bailey, Baird, Baldwyn, Banner, Bassfield, Batesville, Bay St. Louis, Bay Springs, Beaumont, Becker, Belden, Belen, Bellefontaine, Belmont, Belzoni, Benndale, Benoit, Benton, Bentonia, Beulah, Big Creek, Bigbee Valley, Biloxi, Blue Mountain, Blue Springs, Bogue Chitto, Bonneville, Boyle, Brookhaven, Brooklyn, Brooksville, Bruce, Buckatunna, Bude, Burnsville, Caledonia, Calhoun City, Cannon, Carriere, Carrollton, Carson, Carthage, Cary, Cascilla, Cedarbluff, Centreville, Charleston, Chatawa, Chatham, Chunky, Church Hill, Clara, Clarksdale, Clermont Harbor, Cleveland, Coahoma, Coffeerville, Coila, Collins, Collinsville, Columbia, Columbus, Columbus AFB, Como, Conehatta, Corinth, Courtland, Crawford, Crenshaw, Crosby, Crowder, Cruger, Daleville, Darling, De Kalb, Decatur, Delta City, Dennis, Derma, Diamonhead, Diberville, Doddsville, Drew, Dublin, Duck Hill, Dumas, Duncan, Dundee, Durant, Eastabuchie, Ecu, Edinburg, Egypt, Elizabeth, Elliott, Ellisville, Enid, Enterprise, Escatawpa, Ethel, Etta, Eupora, Falcon, Falkner, Farrell, Fayette, Fernwood, Fitler, Forest, Foxworth, French Camp, Friars Point, Fulton, Gattman, Gautier, Glen, Glen Allan, Glendora, Gloster, Golden, Goodman, Gore Springs, Grace, Greenville, Greenwood, Greenwood Springs, Grenada, Gulfport, Gunnison, Guntown, Hamilton, Harpersville, Harriston, Harrisville, Hattiesburg, Heidelberg, Hermanville, Hickory, Hickory Flat, Hillsboro, Holcomb, Hollandale, Holly Bluff, Holly Ridge, Houlka, Houston, Hurley, Indianola, Isola, Itta Bena, Iuka, Jayess, Jonestown, Keesler AFB, Kilmichael, Kiln, Kokomo, Kosciusko, Kossuth, Kreole, Lafayette, Lake, Lakeshore, Lambert, Lamont, Lauderdale, Laurel, Lawrence, Leaf,</p>	<p>Counties: Counties: Coahoma, Grenada, Panola, Quitman, Tallahatchie, Yalobusha, Alcorn, Benton, Lafayette, Lee, Itawamba, Prentiss, Pontotoc, Tippah, Tishomingo, Union, Attala, Bolivar, Carroll, Holmes, Humphreys, Leflore, Montgomery, Sunflower, Washington, Calhoun, Chickasaw, Choctaw, Clay, Lowndes, Monroe, Noxubee, Oktibbeha, Webster, Winston, Yazoo, Warren, Issaquena, Sharkey, Claiborne, Clarke, Jasper, Kemper, Leake, Scott, Lauderdale, Neshoba, Smith, Newton, Amite, Franklin, Lawrence, Pike, Walthall, Adams, Jefferson, Lincoln, Wilkinson, Covington, Forrest, Greene, Jones, Jefferson Davis, Lamar, Marion, Perry, Wayne, George, Hancock, Jackson, Stone, Harrison, Pearl River</p>
--	---	--

	<p>Leakesville, Leland, Lena, Lexington, Liberty, Little Rock, Long Beach, Lorman, Louin, Louise, Louisville, Lucedale, Ludlow, Lula, Lumberton, Lyon, Maben, Macon, Madden, Magnolia, Mantachie, Mantee, Marietta, Marion, Marks, Matherville, Mathiston, Mattson, Mayersville, Mayhew, Mc Adams, Mc Call Creek, Mc Carley, Mc Condry, Mc Cool, Mc Henry, Mc Lain, Mc Neill, McComb, Meadville, Meridian, Merigold, Metcalfe, Michigan City, Midnight, Minter City, Mississippi State, Mississippi Valley State University, Mize, Money, Monticello, Montpelier, Mooreville, Moorhead, Morgan City, Morgantown, Morton, Moselle, Moss, Moss Point, Mound Bayou, Mount Olive, Myrtle, North Carrollton, Natchez, Neely, Nettleton, New Albany, New Augusta, New Site, Newhebron, Newton, Nicholson, Nitta Yuma, Noxapater, Oak Vale, Oakland, Ocean Springs, Okolona, Osyka, Ovelt, Oxford, Pace, Pachuta, Panther, Burn, Parchman, Paris, Pascagoula, Pass Christian, Pattison, Paulding,, Pearlinton, Percy, Perkinston, Petal, Pheba, Philadelphia, Phillip, Picayune, Pickens, Pittsboro, Plantersville, Pontotoc, Pope, Poplarville, Port Gibson, Porterville, Prairie, Prairie Point, Prentiss, Preston, Pulaski, Purvis, Quitman, Raleigh, Randolph, Redwood, Reform, Rena Lara, Rich, Richton, Rienzi, Ripley, Robinsonville, Rolling Fork, Rome, Rose Hill, Rosedale, Roxie, Ruleville, Ruth, Sallis, Saltillo, Sandersville, Sandy Hook, Sarah, Sardis, Sarepta, Satartia, Saucier, Savage, Schlater, Scobey, Scooba, Scott, Sebastopol, Seminary, Sessums, Shannon, Shaw, Shelby, Sherard, Sherman, Shubuta, Shuqualak, Sibley, Sidon, Silver City, Silver Creek, Skene, Slate, Spring, Sledge, Smithdale, Smithville, Sontag, Soso, Starkville, State Line, Steens, Stewart, Stoneville, Stonewall, Stovall, Stringer, Sturgis, Summit, Sumner, Sumrall, Sunflower, Swan Lake, Swiftown, Taylor, Taylorsville, Tchula, Thaxton, Thomastown, Thornton, Tie Plant, Tillatoba, Tinsley, Tiplersville, Tippo, Tishomingo, Toccopola, Tomnolen, Toomsaba, Trebloc, Tremont, Tula, Tunica, Tupelo, Tutwiler, Tylertown, Union, Union Church, University, Vaiden, Valley Park, Van Vleet, Vance, Vancleave, Vardaman, Vaughan, Verona, Vicksburg, Vossburg, Walnut, Walnut Grove, Walthall, Washington, Water Valley, Waterford, Waveland, Waynesboro, Wayside, Webb, Weir, West, West Point, Wheeler, Wiggins, Winona, Winstonville, Winterville, Woodland, Woodville, Yazoo City</p>	
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year	\$859,446.00	
Organization's Website Address	www.ascms.org	
<p> Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>Please check if yes and a faith-based organization.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> </p>	<p> Does your organization maintain a waiting list? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered. </p>	

Project Sponsor Agency Name Grace House		Parent Company Name, if applicable	
Name and Title of Contact at Project Sponsor Agency		Stacey Howard, Executive Director	
Email Address		showard@gracehousems.org	
Business Address		P.O. Box 68924	
City, County, State, Zip,		Jackson, Hinds, MS 39202	
Phone Number (with area code)		601-353-1038	
Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Tax Identification Number (TIN)		64-0836580	Fax Number (with area code)
DUN & Bradstreet Number (DUNs):		969615418	
Congressional District of Project Sponsor's Business Address		2nd	
Congressional District(s) of Primary Service Area(s)		2 nd , 3 rd	
City(ies) and County(ies) of Primary Service Area(s)		Cities: Jackson, Vicksburg	Counties: Hinds, Warren
Total HOPWA contract amount for this Organization for the operating year		\$887,877.67	
Organization's Website Address		www.gracehousems.org	
Is the sponsor a nonprofit organization? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>Please check if yes and a faith-based organization.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Please check if yes and a grassroots organization.</i> <input type="checkbox"/>		Does your organization maintain a waiting list? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, explain in the narrative section how this list is administered.	

5. Grantee Narrative and Performance Assessment

a. Grantee and Community Overview

Provide a one-to-three-page narrative summarizing major achievements and highlights that were proposed and completed during the program year. Include a brief description of the grant organization, area of service, the name(s) of the program contact(s), and an overview of the range/type of housing activities provided. This overview may be used for public information, including posting on HUD's website. **Note:** *Text fields are expandable.*

Mississippi Home Corporation's mission is to enhance Mississippi's long-term economic viability by financing safe, decent, affordable housing and helping working families build wealth. MHC's core function is to assist owner occupied and rental housing targeted to moderate- and lower-income working families. We do this by providing housing finance products not available from other sources. We continually evolve our products to fit current and emerging housing needs throughout Mississippi.

MHC received the Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA) grant to provide housing and related supportive services on a statewide basis to "low-income" persons living with HIV which enables clients and their families to remain in stable housing situations, access permanent housing options, and access to healthcare and other supports.

The goal of the HOPWA program is to maximize independent living and self-determination for people living with HIV disease and their families. These goals are accomplished by providing scattered-site housing assistance with emergency Short-term Rental Mortgage and Utility (STRMU), long-term rental assistance through the Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), Permanent Housing Placement (PHP) and Master Leasing (ML). The goals maintain people with HIV disease in stable housing and assure that low-income people with HIV/AIDS receive appropriate health care.

Through funding provided to Mississippi Home Corporation, the project sponsors (AIDS Services Coalition (ASC) and Grace House) has been able to increase the number of clients and services provided to persons living with HIV/AIDS throughout Mississippi.

Mississippi Home Corporation supports the National HIV/AIDS Strategy and will continue to provide funds to reduce new HIV infections, to increase access to care and improve health outcomes for people living with HIV and Ending the Epidemic.

AIDS Services Coalition:

The goal of the HOPWA program is to maximize independent living and self-determination for people living with HIV disease and their families. These goals are accomplished by providing scattered-site housing assistance with emergency Short-term Rental Mortgage and Utility (STRMU), long-term rental assistance through the Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), Permanent Housing Placement (PHP) and Master Leasing (ML). The goals should maintain people with HIV disease in stable housing and assure that low-income people with HIV/AIDS receive appropriate health care. Through the funding provided through the Mississippi Home Corporation, the AIDS Services Coalition (ASC) has been able to increase the number of clients and services provided to those clients throughout Mississippi. The vision of ASC is "Stop HIV and its impact on our community." Its mission, adopted in 2002 is as follows:

"The purposes of this corporation are to promote and assure comprehensive information, resources and services for comfort and help to people living with and affected by the HIV/AIDS virus. We do not promote or exclude any political, lifestyle, gender-based or religious position. We welcome participation by anyone or group with a sincere desire to promote services for the benefit of those affected by HIV/AIDS. It is not our intention to supplant or compete with any member group or non-member groups on going activities. "

In 2002, in Hattiesburg, Mississippi, persons living with HIV/AIDS were being kicked out of their housing, strictly because of their status. Members of Trinity Episcopal Church formed the AIDS Services Coalition, and within less than a year, purchased the 1880's Victorian home now known as 1-2-1 Haven House, a transitional shelter for persons living with HIV/AIDS. Within three years, the organization had become an affiliate of Mississippi United To End Homelessness or MUTEH, the HUD Balance of State Continuum of Care covering 71 of the 82 counties in Mississippi. The MUTEH governing board determined that the housing provided by ASC would fill a needed gap in the continuum and recommended funding through the Supportive Housing Program. Funding for 1-2-1 Haven House began in 2005.

As the only agency of its kind in the region, ASC began to fill more gaps as it became aware of them. Case management and individual service planning were critical additions to insuring that persons were in care and working toward independence. The ASC board itself reflected the importance of a network—doctors, Ryan White Clinic director, American Red Cross, Catholic Social Services, social workers, regional mental health care, and persons living with HIV/AIDS—that can affect change. Outreach staffing was included in the initial HUD grant and through this outreach person, a support group (Positive Living) flourished and prevention education began in earnest. ASC is often described as "nimble", that is to say, if a need is found, ASC works to establish possible solutions either through its own resources or through those in the community. Since 2005, over 12,000 persons have received a prevention message. Rapid HIV testing and interventions were the next logical steps in provision of service to the community and, since 2009, over 3,000 rapid HIV tests have been given and 1,137 persons have participated in RESPECT, a prevention intervention which is coupled with testing. In 2018, ASC began the process of working to ETE—End the Epidemic—through providing information and referral for PrEP for prevention and working to insure adherence for those PLWH with new and innovative interventions and

incentives.

ASC, as the only ASO providing housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS in the Balance of State CoC, has always been considered a state-wide housing provider. In 2012, ASC applied for and received funding through HUD to purchase and renovate existing units for a well-documented need in our area – permanent supportive housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS and those at high risk of infection, including those living in homelessness and the chronically homeless. Sheley Place, as it will be called, is adjacent to ASC's existing shelter, and will be a 14-unit apartment complex, with supportive services such as case management and life skills training onsite. In July 2013, ASC took over a permanent supportive housing program of 12 apartment units that was being considered for closure because its sponsor had determined that it would no longer be able to continue in that capacity. This program, 227 Place, targets mentally ill and/or addicted individual women and women and children. ASC has added a targeting of women living with HIV/AIDS to the mix. As one can tell, ASC provides a full range of HIV services – housing and case management, education, outreach and prevention activities, pantry, HIV testing, and other services as needs arise.

Since December 2014, ASC has provided HOPWA services to Mississippians living with HIV/AIDS, excepting those living in the Jackson Metropolitan area. Prior to ASC becoming the provider, these services were provided directly through the Mississippi State Department of Health and consisted primarily of short-term assistance, or STRMU. ASC's adopted HOPWA program, the ASC Housing Consortium, provides a more-broad menu of services provided for within the program guidelines.

- Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility (STRMU) Assistance
- Facility based Permanent Supportive Housing
- Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)
- Permanent Housing Placement (PHP)
- Master Leasing
- Housing Information Services
- Resource Identification
- Case Management
- Supportive Services – Including, but not limited to, mental health assistance, substance abuse assistance, transportation and job readiness/training.

In 2019, ASC worked to implement a contract with the Balance of State (rural) Ryan White Program within the MSDH. This contract began as supportive services – emergency financial services, food pantry/boxes, IDs and the like. In February 2020, this contract was expanded to include MOAs with Grace House and MUTEH Canvas to provide transitional housing, emergency financial assistance, and food boxes/pantry. This relationship allowed more than \$109,000 in housing assistance from March through June 2020. In addition, the Cares Act has put funding in through Ryan White and HOPWA which will be spent in the near future.

Grace House:

Grace House, Inc has been providing services to persons living with HIV for over 25 years. Grace House began as a homeless, and hospice care organization in the 90's when persons were dying from AIDS and health-related issues at a more rapid rate. Due to the stigma associated with the illness people are at times placed out of their homes by others residing there once diagnosed. Grace House became a place where such persons could seek shelter, care, and compassion while receiving healthcare services and in many cases hospice care.

Grace House was awarded and began operating a competitive HOPWA grant in 2006 which allowed for a much-needed expansion of housing services and rental assistance. That HOPWA grant has aided over 100 households annually for the past several years with facility-based and non-facility-based housing support. The TBRA and facility-based portions of the project stay at capacity to the grant. The remaining supportive service portions are the only available service support Grace House was able to provide before seeking funding through Mississippi Home Corp for formula HOPWA dollars.

Grace House is in its third grant term with MHC which has allowed Grace House to expand the services offered. Grace House offers through the MHC grant facility-based housing opportunities in the form of Master Leasing which allows persons who have difficulty getting rental property and many time even utilities in their name to live independently in leased housing. Grace House holds the lease on the property with the property manager and the client signs a lease with Grace House. This is especially useful with those that are hardest to serve and need a housing-first approach to their homeless situations. We can house persons with greater physical, mental and emotional disabilities through this housing type and serve as a direct liaison with the landlord, property manager and/or property owners through this service. This type of housing allows for landlords and property managers or owners to build relationships with clients and increases the willingness to execute future leases in the client's name directly with the landlord. Grace House purposed in its grants to assist 68 households combined through Master Leasing and TBRA assistance. Grace House has assisted 95 households with these combined services during the fiscal year of the project.

TBRA is also provided through the grant and allows us to keep people housed once they are in permanent housing. This programming is valuable in preventing homeless episodes for the population served. Persons living with HIV are at a greater risk of death due to homeless episodes than the general population due to the difficulty with maintaining healthcare when you do not have a fixed permanent residence. Continued housing also reduces the risk of transmission of HIV due to better health outcomes when housing is maintained. 58 of the 95 households mentioned above have been assisted through TBRA through this grant process.

STRMU assistance is also offered by the project and is valuable to assisting persons who without a brief intervention in their financial

circumstances might become homeless. It is also the only form of assistance that allows services to be offered to homeowners in the form of both mortgage and utility assistance. STRMU was purposed for 3 households but has allowed Grace House to prevent homelessness for 6 households this year.

In addition to ongoing rental assistance and permanent housing services, Grace House offers an array of supportive services that assist persons living with HIV in gaining and maintaining permanent housing. These include Permanent Housing Placement (PHP), Emergency housing, Case Management services, transportation services, etc. The projected number of persons who would receive these Supportive Services in the grant was 74 households and services were provided to 95.

b. Annual Performance under the Action Plan

Provide a narrative addressing each of the following four items:

1. Outputs Reported. Describe significant accomplishments or challenges in achieving the number of housing units supported and the number households assisted with HOPWA funds during this operating year compared to plans for this assistance, as approved in the Consolidated Plan/Action Plan. Describe how HOPWA funds were distributed during your operating year among different categories of housing and geographic areas to address needs throughout the grant service area, consistent with approved plans.

Mississippi Home Corp has, since 2016, has improved staff structure and increased activities in its HOPWA program administration. Significant strides were made in standardizing policies and procedures for MHC HOPWA and its sponsors. Working with the HUD OAH, training and site visits were made to the State which staff and grantee/sponsor skill base and provided outreach opportunities to potential providers throughout the state. Efforts to expand project activities in the City of Jackson and the MS Gulf Coast began in earnest through examination of needs, gap assessment and subsequent review and modification of the State Action Plan. These activities were focused on addressing underserved areas of the state. In addition, MHC has increased the providers, bringing on Grace House as a provider.

AIDS Services Coalition:

In December 2014, the AIDS Services Coalition became the Balance of State provider of HOPWA services by the MS State Department of Health. MS Home Corp, with a state-wide strategy adopted to better engage clients throughout the state. One of the bigger challenges to achieving the goal of stable housing for those living with HIV in MS has been the lack of good data to allow for prioritization. MHC has since worked to increase the number of providers.

In its HUD Action Plan the following goals were established by the State of Mississippi:

• **One- year goals for the number of households to be provided housing through the use of HOPWA for:**

Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	100
Tenant-based rental assistance	30
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	35
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	30
Total (Source: MS Consolidated Action Plan 2015-19)	195

ASC's One-year accomplishments or the number of households to be provided housing through the use of HOPWA for:

Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	75
Tenant-based rental assistance	110
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	13
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	-
Total (Source: AWARDS HMIS 2019)	198

It should be noted that, according to HMIS records, ASC provided housing assistance and/or case management in 65 of the 73 counties in the Balance of State.

Grace House:

The inclusion of Grace House as a grantee in this year’s HOPWA plan has allowed for areas unserved in previous years to be reached for this much-needed assistance. According to the most recent information distributed by the Department of Health, Jackson MS is among the top 4 cities in the country with new diagnosis of HIV and is ranked 2nd in the country for number of persons living with AIDS. There was 480 new diagnosis throughout Mississippi identified by the Department of Health.

The outputs reported this year by Grace House are as follows:

Type of Assistance	Projected Households	Outputs
STRMU	3	6
TBRA	38	68
Master Lease	30	54
PHP	3	1

Grace House is focused on sustainability in housing for persons currently being assisted through the project and for persons who will find themselves in need of housing assistance in the coming year. Grace House connects persons who qualify with area public housing providers and is making referrals to tax-credit property opportunities for persons who are currently receiving HOPWA assistance and who can sustain housing through such options. This approach will free up spaces with the HOPWA project to assist additional persons with gaining housing stability. It is Grace Houses goal to provide assistance to as many persons as possible with a priority of households at or below 30% of area median income. In this past year, 88% households assisted through Master Lease and TBRA were below 30% AMI.

2. Outcomes Assessed. Assess your program’s success in enabling HOPWA beneficiaries to establish and/or better maintain a stable living environment in housing that is safe, decent, and sanitary, and improve access to care. Compare current year results to baseline results for clients. Describe how program activities/projects contributed to meeting stated goals. If program did not achieve expected targets, please describe how your program plans to address challenges in program implementation and the steps currently being taken to achieve goals in next operating year. If your program exceeded program targets, please describe strategies the program utilized and how those contributed to program successes.

AIDS Services Coalition:

Mississippi Home Corporation Balance of State HOPWA program has been successful in meeting its goals. The total output proposed in HOPWA housing for HOPWA in the 2019 MS Consolidated Plan Action Plan is 127; the total for ASC for this year **328** (this number includes clients in more than one category)) – an almost 7% increase over 2018-19 or 265(de-duplicated). AIDS Services Coalition (ASC) and Grace House are the project sponsors to deliver HOPWA Program services. This opportunity has increased clients’ access to a variety of housing options through HOPWA as well as through mainstream housing assistance programs. In addition, as a veteran provider of HUD housing, ensuring that properties meet standards is a given. As an ASO providing a full range of housing and supportive services for persons living with HIV/AIDS, ASC is able to better broker mainstream services to clients and to link clients to care in a way that is continuous. ASC has specific HIV intervention programs, support groups, outreach activities, a food pantry and a small non-grant emergency fund at its disposal to ensure that clients are well-served.

Overall, the Balance of State HOPWA exceeded its goals, specifically in TBRA. Because ASC has case managers that are specific to HOPWA, clients have been better able to access services than when MSDH implemented the program with existing case manager with existing caseloads. For master leasing, this number has not been achieved. Master leasing was planned to be a part of the discharge planning for the MS Department of Corrections. ASC is still working to establish a regular process with the MDOC to help facilitate this program. Short term leasing facilities became a part of ASC’s programming, converting its transitional facility, 1-2-1 Haven House into permanent supportive housing utilizing HOPWA and SHP. This program houses up to eight (8) men living with HIV/AIDS and provides needed supportive services with the goals of better healthcare, housing stability and increase in income and independence.

Grace House:

Grace House projected the provision of stable, safe, decent, and sanitary housing to 74 families and exceeded this goal by assisting 97 households in obtaining these results. Additionally, Grace House ensured connections to healthcare for all 97 households served. Persons residing in the households who were not the identified client but did identify themselves as HIV positive were also connected to care for a total of 103 persons during this term. Grace House intends to continue its focus on addressing the needs of those most vulnerable within the population served with a housing-first approach. This approach has allowed Grace House to provide services to persons with co-occurring issues such as mental health, chemical dependency, and other disabling conditions that exist alongside the qualifying HIV diagnosis. Grace House assisted in this term 18% of its households with existing mental illnesses, 20% with chemical dependency issues, 16% with chronic health conditions, 4% with development disabilities, and 11% with physical disabilities. Grace House also assisted 23 household members under the age of 18, and 7% of heads of households were youth below the age of 25. In addition to preventing homelessness through housing stability, Grace House strives to end homelessness for persons living with HIV and other co-occurring conditions. In this term, 13% of the households assisted were homeless at the time assistance was provided, 37% of which were chronically homeless. Of persons who exited the project, 95% exited to ongoing permanent housing opportunities.

Grace House is addressing ongoing HOPWA Modernization through strategies that will target assistance to those most in need and who but for assistance would result in homelessness or situation that might impact healthcare. Coordinating services with other area housing providers are vital to ensure that housing stability is obtainable for all persons who seek services through our HOPWA program. Referrals to other housing opportunities are made on a regular basis to ensure HOPWA services are available when needed for all persons throughout the service area.

3. Coordination. Report on program coordination with other mainstream housing and supportive services resources, including the use of committed leveraging from other public and private sources that helped to address needs for eligible persons identified in the Consolidated Plan/Strategic Plan.

AIDS Services Coalition:

MS Home Corp works collaboratively with several non-profit organizations and community programs to maximize access to the available HIV services in the community. The community partners include the AIDS Services Coalition Coastal Family Health Center, Delta Region AETC, Delta Regional Medical Center (Crossroads North), Department of Mental Health, Hinds County Human Resource Agency, G. A. Carmichael Family Health Center, Grace House, Magnolia Medical Clinic, Mississippi in Action, Open Arms Health Center formerly known as My Brother's Keeper, Salvation Army, South Eastern MS Rural Health Initiative (SEMRHI), and Southern AIDS Commission Inc., and University of Mississippi Medical Center (Adult Special Care Clinic, Pediatrics and Maternal Infectious Disease). MS Home Corporation's HOPWA program now has a seat at the table with the Mississippi State Department of Health's HPC or HIV Planning Council.

During the program year, the HOPWA staff attend outreach activities, community programs, seminars, workshops and trainings. Staff are participants in the Continuum of Care regional meetings throughout the state. At these events, the MSDH staff informed the group about stable housing assistance and its relationship to self-stability and continuum of care. HOPWA staff works regularly with other resources who provide housing assistance programs. One entity, as discussed earlier, is the Continuum of Care (CoC) organizations which receives some funding through other grants to assist people who may be homeless or are on the verge of becoming homeless. There are three collaborative applicants in the state, Mississippi United to End Homelessness, Stewpot Community Services and Open Doors Homeless Coalition. The referrals between the agency and CoC organizations would give more options to access other housing sources for clients who may not be eligible to receive assistance through the HOPWA program.

A summary of the One Year Action Plan goals and objectives has been announced and submitted to HUD for review and approval. The MS State Department of Health has adopted its 2017-2021 Plan that will guide assistance. In this plan, housing has become a stronger component of care. At the three scheduled meetings of the MS Planning Council, HOPWA staff provides program statistics and information.

Grace House:

Grace House coordinates services with many area non-profits and agencies to ensure a holistic approach to services offered. Grace House provides in addition to housing services, nutritional services in coordination with the Jackson Medical Mall who will reimburse Grace House for the partial cost of a food box for all persons referred by a medical provider for this service. Grace House also partners with Stewpot Community Services who will share staples to stock the food pantry, so food boxes can be provided to the persons served through any of our housing services. Grace House partners with area agencies to ensure persons served to receive household furnishing, including furniture when needed. Grace House can give clients receiving housing furniture vouchers so that these furnishing can be picked out by the person receiving the services from the partnering agencies. Clothing services are also provided directly by Grace House and through partnerships with area clothing closets. All area health clinics, including HIV healthcare, dental care, vision care, and general health care services are offered to persons served through partnerships. These clinics both receive referrals from and make referrals to Grace House for housing, nutritional and clothing needs. Grace House partners with Ryan-White funded agencies to provide mental health care and substance abuse treatment to persons being served who need this form of service. Grace House, through these partnerships, can get treatment for substance abuse paid for in full for a minimum period of 45 days. Grace House coordinates mental health care through Ryan-White funded agencies and Region 8 to ensure that the needed services are available and any medications that are required are received by the client. Housing resources and referrals are made to area public housing providers and tax credit properties that base rental charges on income. Referrals are also made to CoC funded housing opportunities, HUD-VASH, Supportive Service for Veteran Families, ESG funded rapid re-housing programs, Episcopal AIDs Services, Hinds County Human Resource Agency, and other area-specific housing service providers. Grace House also Coordinates services with other area HOPWA funded projects both in making referrals to HOPWA programs when an individual is identified outside of our primary area of focus. Grace House also receives referrals from other area HOPWA service providers.

4. Technical Assistance. Describe any program technical assistance needs and how they would benefit program beneficiaries.

Best practices in helping clients sustain independence and work toward self-sufficiency would be technical assistance that would be valuable. Job readiness and training opportunities to provide additional opportunities for increased opportunities for self-sufficiency. Additionally, technical assistance on how a housing first approach best fits into HOPWA programming could be of benefit to the area.

c. Barriers and Trends Overview

<input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA/HUD Regulations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Availability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rent Determination and Fair Market Rents
<input type="checkbox"/> Discrimination/Confidentiality	<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple Diagnoses	<input type="checkbox"/> Eligibility	<input type="checkbox"/> Technical Assistance or Training
<input type="checkbox"/> Supportive Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Credit History	<input type="checkbox"/> Rental History	<input type="checkbox"/> Criminal Justice History
<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Affordability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography/Rural Access	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please explain further: Housing is not as available in rural areas.	

Provide a narrative addressing items 1 through 3. Explain how barriers and trends affected your program’s ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed in the previous section.

1. Describe any barriers (including regulatory and non-regulatory) encountered in the administration or implementation of the HOPWA program, how they affected your program’s ability to achieve the objectives and outcomes discussed, and, actions taken in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement. Provide an explanation for each barrier selected.

AIDS Services Coalition:

As stated earlier, one of the bigger challenges to achieving the goal of stable housing for those living with HIV in MS has been the lack of good data to allow for prioritization. For example, though the surveillance data used in the MSDH Coordinated Statement of Need (2014 data) showed 9,456 PLwH while the State of MS HUD Consolidated Plan showed an unmet housing need of only *fourteen* (14) households. This number was based solely on the Point In Time Homeless Count which is a snapshot for one day and only counts literally homeless or those in emergency shelter. Anecdotally, it is estimated that 40% of Mississippians in general are unstably housed, meaning that those Mississippians are in an uncertain housing situation and are at risk of losing this housing. According to the 2015 Medical Monitoring Project of Mississippi, through the MSDH, 65% of HIV+ adults in MS are at or below the poverty line and 5% have experienced homelessness. MHC, ASC, Grace House and others continue to collect and prepare data to provide a clearer picture of HIV housing needs in MS and the State is in the early stages of

<input type="checkbox"/> HOPWA/HUD Regulations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Availability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rent Determination and Fair Market Rents
<input type="checkbox"/> Discrimination/Confidentiality	<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple Diagnoses	<input type="checkbox"/> Eligibility	<input type="checkbox"/> Technical Assistance or Training
<input type="checkbox"/> Supportive Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Credit History	<input type="checkbox"/> Rental History	<input type="checkbox"/> Criminal Justice History
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Affordability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography/Rural Access	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please explain further: Described below	

the development of an HIV Housing Cascade.

In addition, encouraging clients to actively participate in preparing and implementing their individual service plans remains a significant barrier to continued stability. During the intake process, case managers counsel and assist clients with developing goals and actions to achieve stability with housing. All clients must complete an individual housing plan as a requirement to receive services through the HOPWA Program. The housing plan provides clients with a strategic plan and guide towards housing stability. In addition, all clients work with local PHAs to work toward more permanent housing solutions.

With the STRMU and TBRA programs specifically, having clients pivot in a more stable direction whether by better budgeting practices or by seeking additional income. In some higher rent areas of the state, Fair Market Rents are creating a barrier.

Grace House:

Housing affordability is always an issue when working with persons in extreme poverty. Grace House has worked this year to improve relationships with tax credit property owner to help persons obtain ongoing affordable housing opportunities. For many persons served without assistance through this or other ongoing assistance programs continued housing would be impossible.

Efforts to increase household incomes are being pursued by case managers for families in all available modalities, including increased employment opportunities and obtaining disability benefits for people who are unable to work due to chronic conditions.

2. Describe any trends in the community that may affect the way in which the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS are being addressed and provide any other information important to the future provision of services to this population.

AIDS Services Coalition

Insecurity of access to medical care is of concern as is the stability of federal resources. The HIV population in Mississippi is getting younger, with MS in top three nationally in the rate of infection for 13-24-year old. As the total number of people living with HIV increases, we anticipate an increased need by clients for housing case management services. Through assistance with supportive services, clients should be able to connect HOPWA housing and services programs with other mainstream housing programs (i.e. CoC, Section 8, Housing Authority, etc.) through planning and program implementation to expand available housing resources throughout the state. Clients may be able to receive longer term assistance with housing beyond short-term (STRMU) assistance.

Grace House, Inc.

Continued concern for the community is the healthcare provided by mainstream healthcare service providers. Many area doctors and hospitals who do not specifically serve the HIV population are not well informed on current HIV healthcare. Most area hospital will not provide HIV medications to patients being admitted with or diagnosed during their stay with HIV. If a patient is currently on HIV medications, they must bring their own medication to continue treatment while in the hospital under care. This issue often results in missed doses of HIV medication for persons with few community resources or family involvement. Area hospitals also do not provide adequate connections to care upon discharge.

With the state of Mississippi ranked 2nd in the country of AIDS diagnosis a contributing factor seems to be the lack of general education among the healthcare community.

3. Identify any evaluations, studies, or other assessments of the HOPWA program that are available to the public.

AIDS Services Coalition:

The Mississippi HIV Planning Council has increased emphasis on housing as a critical part of the puzzle in keeping people healthy. In fall of 2016, the MSDH needs assessment study for clients with HIV/AIDS. The data showed that assistance with housing was listed among the top three factors that are a priority for clients, along with healthcare and dental assistance. Mississippi engaged in a process to combine the Care and Services Committee and the MS HIV Planning Group. This process included an assessment and the development of an integrated plan. This plan – the 2017- 2021 Integrated HIV Statewide Coordinated Statement of Need showed significant need for services which was used to inform the budgeting of HOPWA monies by service type. In addition, the State of Mississippi has just requested and received funding through the Trump Administration’s Ending the Epidemic planning initiative for 2019. This planning effort will provide guidance to all on prevention and care efforts.

Grace House:

The information provided and cited in this report by the MS Department of Health is made available to the public. Additionally, the MSDH has released a 2017-2021 Integrated HIV Statewide Coordinated Statement of Need. Grace House reports in its by-monthly board of directors’ meetings the outcomes of persons served through this and other HOPWA projects. Outcomes are reported directly to all funding sources and at public presentations throughout the year provided by Grace House staff to the community. Additionally, Grace House makes available any data requested by the Department of Health, Ryan-White funded organizations, other HOPWA funded organization, community organizations, media outlets, and the general public so long as it does not infringe on our client's rights to privacy.

***End of
PART 1***

1. Sources of Leveraging

Report the source(s) of cash or in-kind leveraged federal, state, local or private resources identified in the Consolidated or Annual Plan and used in the delivery of the HOPWA program and the amount of leveraged dollars. In Column [1], identify the type of leveraging. Some common sources of leveraged funds have been provided as a reference point. You may add Rows as necessary to report all sources of leveraged funds. Include Resident Rent payments paid by clients directly to private landlords. Do NOT include rents paid directly to a HOPWA program as this will be reported in the next section. In Column [2] report the amount of leveraged funds expended during the operating year. Use Column [3] to provide some detail about the type of leveraged contribution (e.g., case management services or clothing donations). In Column [4], check the appropriate box to indicate whether the leveraged contribution was a housing subsidy assistance or another form of support.

Note: Be sure to report on the number of households supported with these leveraged funds in Part 3, Chart 1, Column d.

A. Source of Leveraging Chart

[1] Source of Leveraging	[2] Amount of Leveraged Funds	[3] Type of Contribution	[4] Housing Subsidy Assistance or Other Support
Public Funding			
Ryan White-Housing Assistance		Housing and Supportive Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Ryan White-Other- SEMRHI -Ryan White funded services (Part C and Part D) that are HOPWA eligible	\$995,119.00	This is medical care, prescriptions, and other	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Housing Choice Voucher Program			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Low Income Housing Tax Credit			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
HOME			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Continuum of Care	\$50,748.00	Support for 121 Haven House, permanent supportive housing for	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Emergency Solutions Grant			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public: Forrest County Board of Supervisors	\$15,000.00	General Support for 121 Haven House and	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public: MS Legislative Allocation	\$8,500.00	Emergency assistance, food pantry, and participation	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public: CoC Funds GH			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Public:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support

Private Funding			
Grants (MAC, ViiV)	\$284,000.00	Operating support for FBH, supportive services, and outreach	<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
In-kind Resources	\$60,000.00		<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Private: Donations	\$15,000.00		<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Private:			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Other Funding			
Grantee/Project Sponsor (Agency) Cash			<input type="checkbox"/> Housing Subsidy Assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Other Support
Resident Rent Payments by Client to Private Landlord			
TOTAL (Sum of all Rows)	\$1,914,355.57		

2. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments

In Section 2, Chart A, report the total amount of program income and resident rent payments directly generated from the use of HOPWA funds, including repayments. Include resident rent payments collected or paid directly to the HOPWA program. Do NOT include payments made directly from a client household to a private landlord.

Note: Please see report directions section for definition of program income. (Additional information on program income is available in the HOPWA Grantee Oversight Resource Guide).

A. Total Amount Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Collected During the Operating Year

Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Collected		Total Amount of Program Income (for this operating year)
1.	Program income (e.g. repayments)	0
2.	Resident Rent Payments made directly to HOPWA Program	7587.51
3.	Total Program Income and Resident Rent Payments (Sum of Rows 1 and 2)	7587.51

B. Program Income and Resident Rent Payments Expended To Assist HOPWA Households

In Chart B, report on the total program income and resident rent payments (as reported above in Chart A) expended during the operating year. Use Row 1 to report Program Income and Resident Rent Payments expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance Programs (i.e., TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Master Leased Units, and Facility-Based Housing). Use Row 2 to report on the Program Income and Resident Rent Payment expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct Housing Costs.

Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on HOPWA programs		Total Amount of Program Income Expended (for this operating year)
1.	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Housing Subsidy Assistance costs	0
2.	Program Income and Resident Rent Payment Expended on Supportive Services and other non-direct housing costs	7587.51
3.	Total Program Income Expended (Sum of Rows 1 and 2)	7587.51

End of PART 2

In Chart 1, enter performance information (goals and actual outputs) for all activities undertaken during the operating year supported with HOPWA funds. Performance is measured by the number of households and units of housing that were supported with HOPWA or other federal, state, local, or private funds for the purposes of providing housing assistance and support to persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.

1. HOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual Outputs

HOPWA Performance Planned Goal and Actual		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
		HOPWA Assistance		Leveraged Households		HOPWA Funds	
		a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	f.
		Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	HOPWA Funding	HOPWA Actual
HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
1.	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	38	188	38	205	\$548,217.50	\$730,362.80
2a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served)	30	65	30	43	\$470,729.20	435,941.64
2b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Received Operating Subsidies/Leased units (Households Served)						
3a.	Permanent Housing Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year (Households Served)						
3b.	Transitional/Short-term Facilities: Capital Development Projects placed in service during the operating year (Households Served)						
4.	Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance	3	67	3	67	\$130,520.30	\$106,367.16
5.	Permanent Housing Placement Services	3	135	3	137	\$74,000.00	\$65,041.12
6.	Adjustments for duplication (subtract)	0	56	0	13		
7.	Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance (Columns a – d equal the sum of Rows 1-5 minus Row 6; Columns e and f equal the sum of Rows 1-5)	74	399	74	439	\$1,223,467.00	\$1,337,712.72
Housing Development (Construction and Stewardship of facility based housing)		[1] Output: Housing Units				[2] Output: Funding	
8.	Facility-based units; Capital Development Projects not yet opened (Housing Units)						
9.	Stewardship Units subject to 3- or 10- year use agreements						
10.	Total Housing Developed (Sum of Rows 8 & 9)						
Supportive Services		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
11a.	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors that also delivered HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	74	399			\$165,036.88	\$334,374.22
11b.	Supportive Services provided by project sponsors that only provided supportive services.						
12.	Adjustment for duplication (subtract)						
13.	Total Supportive Services (Columns a – d equals the sum of Rows 11 a & b minus Row 12; Columns e and f equal the sum of Rows 11a & 11b)	74	399			\$165,036.88	\$334,374.22
Housing Information Services		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
14.	Housing Information Services	100	100			\$2,742.32	\$707.91
15.	Total Housing Information Services	100	100			\$2,742.32	\$707.91

Grant Administration and Other Activities		[1] Output: Households				[2] Output: Funding	
16.	Resource Identification to establish, coordinate and develop housing assistance resources					\$45,812.67	\$31,162.06
17.	Technical Assistance (if approved in grant agreement)						
18.	Grantee Administration (maximum 3% of total HOPWA grant)					\$41,971.31	\$41,971.31
19.	Project Sponsor Administration (maximum 7% of portion of HOPWA grant awarded)					\$89,856.10	\$95,990.54
20.	Total Grant Administration and Other Activities (Sum of Rows 16 – 19)					\$177,640.08	\$169,123.91
Total Expended						[2] Outputs: HOPWA Funds Expended	
						Budget	Actual
21.	Total Expenditures for operating year (Sum of Rows 7, 10, 13, 15, and 20)					\$1,568,886.28	\$1,841,918.76

2. Listing of Supportive Services

Report on the households served and use of HOPWA funds for all supportive services. Do NOT report on supportive services leveraged with non-HOPWA funds.

Data check: Total unduplicated households and expenditures reported in Row 17 equal totals reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 13.

Supportive Services		[1] Output: Number of <u>Households</u>	[2] Output: Amount of HOPWA Funds Expended
1.	Adult day care and personal assistance	0	0
2.	Alcohol and drug abuse services	0	0
3.	Case management	399	\$331,574.01
4.	Child care and other child services	0	0
5.	Education	0	0
6.	Employment assistance and training	0	0
7.	Health/medical/intensive care services, if approved Note: Client records must conform with 24 CFR §574.310	0	0
8.	Legal services	0	0
9.	Life skills management (outside of case management)	0	0
10.	Meals/nutritional services	0	0
11.	Mental health services	0	0
12.	Outreach	0	0
13.	Transportation	53	\$2,800.21
14.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement). Specify:	0	0
15.	Sub-Total Households receiving Supportive Services (Sum of Rows 1-14)	- 399	
16.	Adjustment for Duplication (subtract)	53	
17.	TOTAL Unduplicated Households receiving Supportive Services (Column [1] equals Row 15 minus Row 16; Column [2] equals sum of Rows 1-14)	399	\$334,374.22

3. Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility Assistance (STRMU) Summary

In Row a, enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended on Short-Term Rent, Mortgage and Utility (STRMU) Assistance. In Row b, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with mortgage costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row c, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both mortgage and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row d, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with rental costs only (no utility costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row e, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with both rental and utility costs and the amount expended assisting these households. In Row f, enter the total number of STRMU-assisted households that received assistance with utility costs only (not including rent or mortgage costs) and the amount expended assisting these households. In row g, report the amount of STRMU funds expended to support direct program costs such as program operation staff.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Row a, column [1] and the total amount of HOPWA funds reported as expended in Row a, column [2] equals the household and expenditure total reported for STRMU in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Columns b and f, respectively.

Data Check: The total number of households reported in Column [1], Rows b, c, d, e, and f equal the total number of STRMU households reported in Column [1], Row a. The total amount reported as expended in Column [2], Rows b, c, d, e, f, and g. equal the total amount of STRMU expenditures reported in Column [2], Row a.

Housing Subsidy Assistance Categories (STRMU)		[1] Output: Number of <u>Households Served</u>	[2] Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended on STRMU during Operating Year
a.	Total Short-term mortgage, rent and/or utility (STRMU) assistance	67	\$106,367.16
b.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage costs ONLY.	5	\$6,580.72
c.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with mortgage and utility costs.	1	\$2,365.84
d.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental costs ONLY.	50	\$69112.22
e.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with rental and utility costs.	11	\$20,570.31
f.	Of the total STRMU reported on Row a, total who received assistance with utility costs ONLY.	0	\$0
g.	Direct program delivery costs (e.g., program operations staff time)		\$7,738.07

**End of
PART 3**

In Column [1], report the total number of eligible households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, by type. In Column [2], enter the number of households that continued to access each type of housing subsidy assistance into next operating year. In Column [3], report the housing status of all households that exited the program.

Data Check: The sum of Columns [2] (Number of Households Continuing) and [3] (Exited Households) equals the total reported in Column[1].

Note: Refer to the housing stability codes that appear in Part 5: Worksheet - Determining Housing Stability Outcomes.

Section 1. Housing Stability: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Maintaining Housing Stability (Permanent Housing and Related Facilities)

A. Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance

	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: Number of Households that exited this HOPWA Program; their Housing Status after Exiting		[4] HOPWA Client Outcomes
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	188	88	1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	1	<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
			2 Temporary Housing	3	<i>Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness</i>
			3 Private Housing	56	<i>Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)</i>
			4 Other HOPWA	2	
			5 Other Subsidy	36	
			6 Institution	1	<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
			7 Jail/Prison	0	
			8 Disconnected/Unknown	0	
			9 Death	1	<i>Life Event</i>
Permanent Supportive Housing Facilities/ Units	67	18	1 Emergency Shelter/Streets	2	<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
			2 Temporary Housing	3	<i>Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness</i>
			3 Private Housing	10	<i>Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)</i>
			4 Other HOPWA	10	
			5 Other Subsidy	23	
			6 Institution	0	<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
			7 Jail/Prison	1	
			8 Disconnected/Unknown	0	
			9 Death	0	<i>Life Event</i>

B. Transitional Housing Assistance

	[1] Output: Total Number of Households Served	[2] Assessment: Number of Households that Continued Receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance into the Next Operating Year	[3] Assessment: Number of Households that exited this HOPWA Program; their Housing Status after Exiting		[4] HOPWA Client Outcomes
Transitional/ Short-Term Housing Facilities/ Units			1 Emergency Shelter/Streets		<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
			2 Temporary Housing		<i>Temporarily Stable with Reduced Risk of Homelessness</i>
			3 Private Housing		<i>Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)</i>
			4 Other HOPWA		
			5 Other Subsidy		
			6 Institution		<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
			7 Jail/Prison		
			8 Disconnected/unknown		

			9 Death		<i>Life Event</i>
--	--	--	---------	--	-------------------

Section 2. Prevention of Homelessness: Assessment of Client Outcomes on Reduced Risks of Homelessness (Short-Term Housing Subsidy Assistance)

Report the total number of households that received STRMU assistance in Column [1].

In Column [2], identify the outcomes of the households reported in Column [1] either at the time that they were known to have left the STRMU program or through the project sponsor's best assessment for stability at the end of the operating year.

Information in Column [3] provides a description of housing outcomes; therefore, data is not required. At the bottom of the chart:

- In Row 1a, report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the prior operating year.
- In Row 1b, report those households that received STRMU assistance during the operating year of this report, and the two prior operating years.

Data Check: The total households reported as served with STRMU in Column [1] equals the total reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 4, Column b.

Data Check: The sum of Column [2] should equal the number of households reported in Column [1].

Assessment of Households that Received STRMU Assistance

[1] Output: Total number of households	[2] Assessment of Housing Status		[3] HOPWA Client Outcomes
67	Maintain Private Housing without subsidy <i>(e.g. Assistance provided/completed and client is stable, not likely to seek additional support)</i>	33	<i>Stable/Permanent Housing (PH)</i>
	Other Private Housing without subsidy <i>(e.g. client switched housing units and is now stable, not likely to seek additional support)</i>	1	
	Other HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	2	
	Other Housing Subsidy (PH)	12	
	Institution <i>(e.g. residential and long-term care)</i>		<i>Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness</i>
	Likely that additional STRMU is needed to maintain current housing arrangements	12	
	Transitional Facilities/Short-term <i>(e.g. temporary or transitional arrangement)</i>		
	Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangement <i>(e.g. gave up lease, and moved in with family or friends but expects to live there less than 90 days)</i>	5	
	Emergency Shelter/street	1	<i>Unstable Arrangements</i>
	Jail/Prison		
Disconnected			
Death		<i>Life Event</i>	
1a. Total number of those households that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this report that also received STRMU assistance in the prior operating year (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance in two consecutive operating years).			12

1b. Total number of those households that received STRMU Assistance in the operating year of this report that also received STRMU assistance in the two prior operating years (e.g. households that received STRMU assistance in three consecutive operating years).	0
--	---

Section 3. HOPWA Outcomes on Access to Care and Support 1a. Total Number of Households

Line [1]: For project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (TBRA, STRMU, Facility-Based, PHP and Master Leasing) and HOPWA funded case management services. Use Row c to adjust for duplication among the service categories and Row d to provide an unduplicated household total.

Line [2]: For project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance identify in the appropriate row the number of households that received HOPWA funded case management services.

Note: These numbers will help you to determine which clients to report Access to Care and Support Outcomes for and will be used by HUD as a basis for analyzing the percentage of households who demonstrated or maintained connections to care and support as identified in Chart 1b below.

Total Number of Households	
1. For Project Sponsors that provided HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that received the following HOPWA-funded services:	
a. Housing Subsidy Assistance (duplicated)-TBRA, STRMU, PHP, Facility-Based Housing, and Master Leasing	455
b. Case Management	399
c. Adjustment for duplication (subtraction)	455
d. Total Households Served by Project Sponsors with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows a and b minus Row c)	399
2. For Project Sponsors did NOT provide HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance: Identify the total number of households that received the following HOPWA-funded service:	
a. HOPWA Case Management	
b. Total Households Served by Project Sponsors without Housing Subsidy Assistance	

1b. Status of Households Accessing Care and Support

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a, Row 1d above, report the number of households that demonstrated access or maintained connections to care and support within the operating year.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a, Row 2b, report the number of households that demonstrated improved access or maintained connections to care and support within the operating year.

Note: For information on types and sources of income and medical insurance/assistance, refer to Charts below.

Categories of Services Accessed	[1] For project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	Outcome Indicator
1. Has a housing plan for maintaining or establishing stable on-going housing	399		<i>Support for Stable Housing</i>
2. Had contact with case manager/benefits counselor consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan (may include leveraged services such as Ryan White Medical Case Management)	244		<i>Access to Support</i>
3. Had contact with a primary health care provider consistent with the schedule specified in client's individual service plan	278		<i>Access to Health Care</i>
4. Accessed and maintained medical insurance/assistance	322		<i>Access to Health Care</i>
5. Successfully accessed or maintained qualification for sources of income	359		<i>Sources of Income</i>

Chart 1b, Line 4: Sources of Medical Insurance and Assistance include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• MEDICAID Health Insurance Program, or use local program name• MEDICARE Health Insurance Program, or use local program name | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Veterans Affairs Medical Services• AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP)• State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP), or use local program name | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Ryan White-funded Medical or Dental Assistance |
|---|--|--|

Chart 1b, Row 5: Sources of Income include, but are not limited to the following (Reference only)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Earned Income • Veteran’s Pension • Unemployment Insurance • Pension from Former Job • Supplemental Security Income (SSI) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child Support • Social Security Disability Income (SSDI) • Alimony or other Spousal Support • Veteran’s Disability Payment • Retirement Income from Social Security • Worker’s Compensation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Assistance (GA), or use local program name • Private Disability Insurance • Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) • Other Income Sources
---	--	--

1c. Households that Obtained Employment

Column [1]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as identified in Chart 1a, Row 1d above, report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or related case management/counseling services.

Column [2]: Of the households identified as receiving services from project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as reported in Chart 1a, Row 2b, report on the number of households that include persons who obtained an income-producing job during the operating year that resulted from HOPWA-funded Job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Note: This includes jobs created by this project sponsor or obtained outside this agency.

Note: Do not include jobs that resulted from leveraged job training, employment assistance, education or case management/counseling services.

Categories of Services Accessed	[1] For project sponsors that provided HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:	[2] For project sponsors that did NOT provide HOPWA housing subsidy assistance, identify the households who demonstrated the following:
Total number of households that obtained an income-producing job	175	

End of PART 4

1. This chart is designed to assess program results based on the information reported in Part 4 and to help Grantees determine overall program performance. Completion of this worksheet is optional.

Permanent Housing Subsidy Assistance	Stable Housing (# of households remaining in program plus 3+4+5+6)	Temporary Housing (2)	Unstable Arrangements (1+7+8)	Life Event (9)
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA)				
Permanent Facility-based Housing Assistance/Units				
Transitional/Short-Term Facility-based Housing Assistance/Units				
Total Permanent HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance				
Reduced Risk of Homelessness: Short-Term Assistance	Stable/Permanent Housing	Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness	Unstable Arrangements	Life Events
Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance (STRMU)				
Total HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance				

Background on HOPWA Housing Stability Codes

Stable Permanent Housing/Ongoing Participation

3 = Private Housing in the private rental or home ownership market (without known subsidy, including permanent placement with families or other self-sufficient arrangements) with reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed.

4 = Other HOPWA-funded housing subsidy assistance (not STRMU), e.g. TBRA or Facility-Based Assistance. 5 = Other subsidized house or apartment (non-HOPWA sources, e.g., Section 8, HOME, public housing).

6 = Institutional setting with greater support and continued residence expected (e.g., residential or long-term care facility).

Temporary Housing

2 = Temporary housing - moved in with family/friends or other short-term arrangement, such as Ryan White subsidy, transitional housing for homeless, or temporary placement in institution (e.g., hospital, psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility, substance abuse treatment facility or detox center).

Unstable Arrangements

1 = Emergency shelter or no housing destination such as places not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station, or anywhere outside).

7 = Jail /prison.

8 = Disconnected or disappeared from project support, unknown destination or no assessments of housing needs were undertaken.

Life Event

9 = Death, i.e., remained in housing until death. This characteristic is not factored into the housing stability equation.

Tenant-based Rental Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as reported under: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item: 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Permanent Facility-Based Housing Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) remain in the housing and (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2.

Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Transitional/Short-Term Facility-Based Housing Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that (i) continue in the residences (ii) those that left the assistance as shown as items: 3, 4, 5, and 6. Other Temporary Housing is the number of households that accessed assistance, and left their current housing for a non-permanent housing arrangement, as reported under item 2. Unstable Situations is the sum of numbers reported under items: 1, 7, and 8.

Tenure Assessment. A baseline of households in transitional/short-term facilities for assessment purposes, indicate the number of households whose tenure exceeded 24 months.

STRMU Assistance: Stable Housing is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period and there is reasonable expectation that additional support is not needed in order to maintain permanent housing living situation (as this is a time-limited form of housing support) as reported under housing status: Maintain Private Housing with subsidy; Other Private with Subsidy; Other HOPWA support; Other Housing Subsidy; and Institution.

Temporarily Stable, with Reduced Risk of Homelessness is the sum of the number of households that accessed assistance for some portion of the permitted 21-week period or left their current housing arrangement for a transitional facility or other temporary/non-permanent housing arrangement and there is reasonable expectation additional support will be needed to maintain housing arrangements in the next year, as reported under housing status: Likely to maintain current housing arrangements, with additional STRMU assistance; Transitional Facilities/Short-term; and Temporary/Non-Permanent Housing arrangements Unstable Situation is the sum of number of households reported under housing status: Emergency Shelter; Jail/Prison; and Disconnected.

End of PART 5

The Annual Report of Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units is to be used in place of Part 7B of the CAPER if the facility was originally acquired, rehabilitated or constructed/developed in part with HOPWA funds but no HOPWA funds were expended during the operating year. Scattered site units may be grouped together on one page.

Grantees that used HOPWA funding for new construction, acquisition, or substantial rehabilitation are required to operate their facilities for HOPWA eligible individuals for at least ten (10) years. If non-substantial rehabilitation funds were used, they are required to operate for at least three (3) years. Stewardship begins once the facility is put into operation.

Note: See definition of Stewardship Units.

1. General information

HUD Grant Number(s)	Operating Year for this report <i>From (mm/dd/yy) To (mm/dd/yy)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Final Yr <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 1; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 2; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 3; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 4; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 5; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 6; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 7; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 8; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 9; <input type="checkbox"/> Yr 10
Grantee Name	Date Facility Began Operations (mm/dd/yy)

2. Number of Units and Non-HOPWA Expenditures

Facility Name:	Number of Stewardship Units Developed with HOPWA funds	Amount of Non-HOPWA Funds Expended in Support of the Stewardship Units during the Operating Year
Total Stewardship Units (subject to 3- or 10- year use periods)		

3. Details of Project Site

Project Sites: Name of HOPWA-funded project	
Site Information: Project Zip Code(s)	
Site Information: Congressional District(s)	
Is the address of the project site confidential?	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Yes, protect information; do not list</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Not confidential; information can be made available to the public</i>
If the site is not confidential: Please provide the contact information, phone, email address/location, if business address is different from facility address	

End of PART 6

Note: Reporting for this section should include *ONLY* those individuals, beneficiaries, or households that received and/or resided in a household that received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Part 3, Chart 1, Row 7, Column b. (e.g., do not include households that received HOPWA supportive services *ONLY*).

Section 1. HOPWA-Eligible Individuals Who Received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

a. Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Living with HIV/AIDS

In Chart a., provide the total number of eligible (and unduplicated) low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance during the operating year. This total should include only the individual who qualified the household for HOPWA assistance, NOT all HIV positive individuals in the household.

Individuals Served with Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total
Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified their household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.	399

Chart b. Prior Living Situation

In Chart b, report the prior living situations for all Eligible Individuals reported in Chart a. In Row 1, report the total number of individuals who continued to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance from the prior operating year into this operating year. In Rows 2 through 17, indicate the prior living arrangements for all new HOPWA housing subsidy assistance recipients during the operating year.

Data Check: The total number of eligible individuals served in Row 18 equals the total number of individuals served through housing subsidy assistance reported in Chart a above.

Category		Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Receiving Housing Subsidy Assistance
1.	<u>Continuing</u> to receive HOPWA support from the prior operating year	219
New Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance support during Operating Year		
2.	Place not meant for human habitation (such as a vehicle, abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport, or outside)	15
3.	Emergency shelter (including hotel, motel, or campground paid for with emergency shelter voucher)	3
4.	Transitional housing for homeless persons	11
5.	Total number of new Eligible Individuals who received HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance with a Prior Living Situation that meets HUD definition of homelessness (Sum of Rows 2 – 4)	29
6.	Permanent housing for formerly homeless persons (such as Shelter Plus Care, SHP, or SRO Mod Rehab)	7
7.	Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	2
8.	Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	2
9.	Hospital (non-psychiatric facility)	2
10.	Foster care home or foster care group home	0
11.	Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	1
12.	Rented room, apartment, or house	41
13.	House you own	2
14.	Staying or living in someone else’s (family and friends) room, apartment, or house	86
15.	Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	7
16.	Other	1

17.	Don't Know or Refused	0
18.	TOTAL Number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals (sum of Rows 1 and 5-17)	399

c. Homeless Individual Summary

In Chart c, indicate the number of eligible individuals reported in Chart b, Row 5 as homeless who also are homeless Veterans and/or meet the definition for Chronically Homeless (See Definition section of CAPER). The totals in Chart c do not need to equal the total in Chart b, Row 5.

Category	Number of Homeless Veteran(s)	Number of Chronically Homeless
HOPWA eligible individuals served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	13	19

Section 2. Beneficiaries

In Chart a, report the total number of HOPWA eligible individuals living with HIV/AIDS who received HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (*as reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a*), and all associated members of their household who benefitted from receiving HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (resided with HOPWA eligible individuals).

Note: See definition of HOPWA Eligible Individual

Note: See definition of Transgender.

Note: See definition of Beneficiaries.

Data Check: The sum of each of the Charts b & c on the following two pages equals the total number of beneficiaries served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance as determined in Chart a, Row 4 below.

a. Total Number of Beneficiaries Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance

Individuals and Families Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance	Total Number
1. Number of individuals with HIV/AIDS who qualified the household to receive HOPWA housing subsidy assistance (equals the number of HOPWA Eligible Individuals reported in Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a)	399
2. Number of ALL other persons diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individuals identified in Row 1 and who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance	23
3. Number of ALL other persons NOT diagnosed as HIV positive who reside with the HOPWA eligible individual identified in Row 1 and who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy	113
4. TOTAL number of ALL <u>beneficiaries</u> served with Housing Subsidy Assistance (Sum of Rows 1, 2, & 3)	535

b. Age and Gender

In Chart b, indicate the Age and Gender of all beneficiaries as reported in Chart a directly above. Report the Age and Gender of all HOPWA Eligible Individuals (those reported in Chart a, Row 1) using Rows 1-5 below and the Age and Gender of all other beneficiaries (those reported in Chart a, Rows 2 and 3) using Rows 6-10 below. The number of individuals reported in Row 11, Column E. equals the total number of beneficiaries reported in Part 7, Section 2, Chart a, Row 4.

HOPWA Eligible Individuals (Chart a, Row 1)						
		A.	B.	C.	D.	E.
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)
1.	Under 18	1				1
2.	18 to 30 years	54	26	4	0	84
3.	31 to 50 years	112	67	2	0	181
4.	51 years and Older	76	57		0	133
5.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 1-4)	243	150	6	0	399
All Other Beneficiaries (Chart a, Rows 2 and 3)						
		A.	B.	C.	D.	E.
		Male	Female	Transgender M to F	Transgender F to M	TOTAL (Sum of Columns A-D)
6.	Under 18	27	25			52
7.	18 to 30 years	23	16			39
8.	31 to 50 years	8	16	2		26
9.	51 years and Older	6	13			19
10.	Subtotal (Sum of Rows 6-9)	64	70	2		136
Total Beneficiaries (Chart a, Row 4)						
11.	TOTAL (Sum of Rows 5 & 10)	307	220	8	0	535

c. Race and Ethnicity*

In Chart c, indicate the Race and Ethnicity of all beneficiaries receiving HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance as reported in Section 2, Chart a, Row 4. Report the race of all HOPWA eligible individuals in Column [A]. Report the ethnicity of all HOPWA eligible individuals in column [B]. Report the race of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [C]. Report the ethnicity of all other individuals who benefitted from the HOPWA housing subsidy assistance in column [D]. The summed total of columns [A] and [C] equals the total number of beneficiaries reported above in Section 2, Chart a, Row 4.

Category		HOPWA Eligible Individuals		All Other Beneficiaries	
		[A] Race [all individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a, Row 1]	[B] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]	[C] Race [total of individuals reported in Section 2, Chart a, Rows 2 & 3]	[D] Ethnicity [Also identified as Hispanic or Latino]
1.	American Indian/Alaskan Native	1		3	
2.	Asian	2			
3.	Black/African American	338	3	100	2
4.	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				
5.	White	54	2	30	1
6.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & White				
7.	Asian & White				
8.	Black/African American & White	1		2	
9.	American Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African American				
10.	Other Multi-Racial	3	1	1	1
11.	Column Totals (Sum of Rows 1-10)	399	6	136	4

Data Check: Sum of Row 11 Column A and Row 11 Column C equals the total number HOPWA Beneficiaries reported in Part 3A, Section 2, Chart a, Row 4.

*Reference (data requested consistent with Form HUD-27061 Race and Ethnic Data Reporting Form)

Section 3. Households

Household Area Median Income

Report the income(s) for all households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance.

Data Check: The total number of households served with HOPWA housing subsidy assistance should equal Part 3C, Row 7, Column b and Part 7A, Section 1, Chart a. (Total HOPWA Eligible Individuals Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance).

Note: Refer to <https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/il.html> for information on area median income in your community.

Percentage of Area Median Income		Households Served with HOPWA Housing Subsidy Assistance
1.	0-30% of area median income (extremely low)	283
2.	31-50% of area median income (very low)	87
3.	51-80% of area median income (low)	29
4.	Total (Sum of Rows 1-3)	399

Complete one Part 7B for each facility developed or supported through HOPWA funds.

Do not complete this Section for programs originally developed with HOPWA funds but no longer supported with HOPWA funds. If a facility was developed with HOPWA funds (subject to ten years of operation for acquisition, new construction and substantial rehabilitation costs of stewardship units, or three years for non-substantial rehabilitation costs), but HOPWA funds are no longer used to support the facility, the project sponsor should complete Part 6: Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY).

Complete Charts 2a, Project Site Information, and 2b, Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units, for all Development Projects, including facilities that were past development projects, but continued to receive HOPWA operating dollars this reporting year.

1. Project Sponsor Agency Name (Required)

2. Capital Development

2a. Project Site Information for HOPWA Capital Development of Projects (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this reporting year)

Note: If units are scattered-sites, report on them as a group and under type of Facility write "Scattered Sites."

Type of Development this operating year		HOPWA Funds Expended this operating year (if applicable)	Non-HOPWA funds Expended (if applicable)	Name of Facility:
<input type="checkbox"/> New construction	\$	\$	Type of Facility [Check <u>only one</u> box.] <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent housing <input type="checkbox"/> Short-term Shelter or Transitional housing <input type="checkbox"/> Supportive services only facility	
<input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitation	\$	\$		
<input type="checkbox"/> Acquisition	\$	\$		
<input type="checkbox"/> Operating	\$	\$		
a.	Purchase/lease of property:		Date (mm/dd/yy):	
b.	Rehabilitation/Construction Dates:		Date started:	Date Completed:
c.	Operation dates:		Date residents began to occupy: <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet occupied	
d.	Date supportive services began:		Date started: <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet providing services	
e.	Number of units in the facility:		HOPWA-funded units =	Total Units =
f.	Is a waiting list maintained for the facility?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>If yes, number of participants on the list at the end of operating year</i>	
g.	What is the address of the facility (if different from business address)?			
h.	Is the address of the project site confidential?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, protect information; do not publish list <input type="checkbox"/> No, can be made available to the public	

2b. Number and Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this Reporting Year)

For units entered above in 2a, please list the number of HOPWA units that fulfill the following criteria:

	Number Designated for the Chronically Homeless	Number Designated to Assist the Homeless	Number Energy-Star Compliant	Number 504 Accessible
Rental units constructed (new) and/or acquired <u>with</u> or <u>without</u> rehab				
Rental units rehabbed				
Homeownership units constructed (if approved)				

3. Units Assisted in Types of Housing Facility/Units Leased by Project Sponsor

Charts 3a, 3b, and 4 are required for each facility. In Charts 3a and 3b, indicate the type and number of housing units in the facility, including master leased units, project-based or other scattered site units leased by the organization, categorized by the number of bedrooms per unit.

Note: The number units may not equal the total number of households served.

Please complete separate charts for each housing facility assisted. Scattered site units may be grouped together.

3a. Check one only

- Permanent Supportive Housing Facility/Units
- Short-term Shelter or Transitional Supportive Housing Facility/Units

3b. Type of Facility

Complete the following Chart for all facilities leased, master leased, project-based, or operated with HOPWA funds during the reporting year.

Name of Project Sponsor/Agency Operating the Facility/Leased Units: 121 Haven House

Type of housing facility operated by the project sponsor		Total Number of <u>Units</u> in use during the Operating Year Categorized by the Number of Bedrooms per Units				
		SRO/Studio/0 bdrm	1 bdrm	2 bdrm	3 bdrm	4 bdrm
a.	Single room occupancy dwelling					
b.	Community residence				1	
c.	Project-based rental assistance units or leased units					
d.	Other housing facility <u>Specify:</u>					

4. Households and Housing Expenditures

Enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended by the project sponsor on subsidies for housing involving the use of facilities, master leased units, project based or other scattered site units leased by the organization.

Housing Assistance Category: Facility Based Housing		Output: Number of Households	Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended during Operating Year by Project Sponsor
a.	Leasing Costs		
b.	Operating Costs	11	\$50,773.00

c.	Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA) or other leased units		
d.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement) <u>Specify:</u>		
e.	Adjustment to eliminate duplication (subtract)		
f.	TOTAL Facility-Based Housing Assistance (Sum Rows a through d minus Row e)	11	\$50,773.00

Complete one Part 7B for each facility developed or supported through HOPWA funds.

Do not complete this Section for programs originally developed with HOPWA funds but no longer supported with HOPWA funds. If a facility was developed with HOPWA funds (subject to ten years of operation for acquisition, new construction and substantial rehabilitation costs of stewardship units, or three years for non-substantial rehabilitation costs), but HOPWA funds are no longer used to support the facility, the project sponsor should complete Part 6: Continued Usage for HOPWA Facility-Based Stewardship Units (ONLY).

Complete Charts 2a, Project Site Information, and 2b, Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units, for all Development Projects, including facilities that were past development projects, but continued to receive HOPWA operating dollars this reporting year.

1. Project Sponsor Agency Name (Required)

2. Capital Development

2a. Project Site Information for HOPWA Capital Development of Projects (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this reporting year)

Note: If units are scattered-sites, report on them as a group and under type of Facility write "Scattered Sites."

Type of Development this operating year		HOPWA Funds Expended this operating year (if applicable)	Non-HOPWA funds Expended (if applicable)	Name of Facility:
<input type="checkbox"/> New construction	\$	\$	Type of Facility [Check <u>only one</u> box.] <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent housing <input type="checkbox"/> Short-term Shelter or Transitional housing <input type="checkbox"/> Supportive services only facility	
<input type="checkbox"/> Rehabilitation	\$	\$		
<input type="checkbox"/> Acquisition	\$	\$		
<input type="checkbox"/> Operating	\$	\$		
a.	Purchase/lease of property:		Date (mm/dd/yy):	
b.	Rehabilitation/Construction Dates:		Date started:	Date Completed:
c.	Operation dates:		Date residents began to occupy: <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet occupied	
d.	Date supportive services began:		Date started: <input type="checkbox"/> Not yet providing services	
e.	Number of units in the facility:		HOPWA-funded units =	Total Units =
f.	Is a waiting list maintained for the facility?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <i>If yes, number of participants on the list at the end of operating year</i>	
g.	What is the address of the facility (if different from business address)?			
h.	Is the address of the project site confidential?		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, protect information; do not publish list <input type="checkbox"/> No, can be made available to the public	

2b. Number and Type of HOPWA Capital Development Project Units (For Current or Past Capital Development Projects that receive HOPWA Operating Costs this Reporting Year)

For units entered above in 2a, please list the number of HOPWA units that fulfill the following criteria:

	Number Designated for the Chronically Homeless	Number Designated to Assist the Homeless	Number Energy-Star Compliant	Number 504 Accessible
Rental units constructed (new) and/or acquired <u>with</u> or <u>without</u> rehab				
Rental units rehabbed				
Homeownership units constructed (if approved)				

3. Units Assisted in Types of Housing Facility/Units Leased by Project Sponsor

Charts 3a, 3b, and 4 are required for each facility. In Charts 3a and 3b, indicate the type and number of housing units in the facility, including master leased units, project-based or other scattered site units leased by the organization, categorized by the number of bedrooms per unit.

Note: The number units may not equal the total number of households served.

Please complete separate charts for each housing facility assisted. Scattered site units may be grouped together.

3a. Check one only

- Permanent Supportive Housing Facility/Units
- Short-term Shelter or Transitional Supportive Housing Facility/Units

3b. Type of Facility

Complete the following Chart for all facilities leased, master leased, project-based, or operated with HOPWA funds during the reporting year.

Name of Project Sponsor/Agency Operating the Facility/Leased Units: Grace House, Inc.

Type of housing facility operated by the project sponsor		Total Number of <u>Units</u> in use during the Operating Year					5+bdrm
		SRO/Studio/0 bdrm	1 bdrm	2 bdrm	3 bdrm	4 bdrm	
a.	Single room occupancy dwelling						
b.	Community residence						
c.	Project-based rental assistance units or leased units		44	9		1	
d.	Other housing facility <u>Specify:</u>						

4. Households and Housing Expenditures

Enter the total number of households served and the amount of HOPWA funds expended by the project sponsor on subsidies for housing involving the use of facilities, master leased units, project based or other scattered site

units leased by the organization.

Housing Assistance Category: Facility Based Housing		Output: Number of Households	Output: Total HOPWA Funds Expended during Operating Year by Project Sponsor
a.	Leasing Costs	54	\$385,168.64
b.	Operating Costs		
c.	Project-Based Rental Assistance (PBRA) or other leased units		
d.	Other Activity (if approved in grant agreement) <u>Specify:</u>		
e.	Adjustment to eliminate duplication (subtract)		
f.	TOTAL Facility-Based Housing Assistance (Sum Rows a through d minus Row e)	54	\$385,168.64